

CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5073

62nd Legislature 2011 Regular Session

Passed by the Senate April 21, 2011 YEAS 27 NAYS 21	CERTIFICATE I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington do hereby certify that the attached
Passed by the House April 11, 2011 YEAS 54 NAYS 43	Senate and the House o Representatives on the dates hereous set forth.
Speaker of the House of Representatives	Secretary
Approved	FILED
Governor of the State of Washington	Secretary of State State of Washington

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5073

AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2011 Regular Session

State of Washington 62nd Legislature 2011 Regular Session

By Senate Ways & Means (originally sponsored by Senators Kohl-Welles, Delvin, Keiser, Regala, Pflug, Murray, Tom, Kline, McAuliffe, and Chase)
READ FIRST TIME 02/25/11.

AN ACT Relating to medical use of cannabis; amending RCW 69.51A.005, 69.51A.020, 69.51A.010, 69.51A.030, 69.51A.040, 69.51A.050, 69.51A.060, and 69.51A.900; adding new sections to chapter 69.51A RCW; adding new sections to chapter 42.56 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 28B.20 RCW; creating new sections; repealing RCW 69.51A.080; prescribing penalties; and providing an effective date.

7 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

PART I LEGISLATIVE DECLARATION AND INTENT

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 101. (1) The legislature intends to amend and clarify the law on the medical use of cannabis so that:
- (a) Qualifying patients and designated providers complying with the terms of this act and registering with the department of health will no longer be subject to arrest or prosecution, other criminal sanctions, or civil consequences based solely on their medical use of cannabis;
- 16 (b) Qualifying patients will have access to an adequate, safe, consistent, and secure source of medical quality cannabis; and

- 1 (c) Health care professionals may authorize the medical use of 2 cannabis in the manner provided by this act without fear of state 3 criminal or civil sanctions.
 - (2) This act is not intended to amend or supersede Washington state law prohibiting the acquisition, possession, manufacture, sale, or use of cannabis for nonmedical purposes.
 - (3) This act is not intended to compromise community safety. State, county, or city correctional agencies or departments shall retain the authority to establish and enforce terms for those on active supervision.
- 11 **Sec. 102.** RCW 69.51A.005 and 2010 c 284 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) The ((people of Washington state)) legislature finds that:
 - (a) There is medical evidence that some patients with terminal or debilitating ((illnesses)) medical conditions may, under their health care professional's care, ((may)) benefit from the medical use of ((marijuana)) cannabis. Some of the ((illnesses)) conditions for which ((marijuana)) cannabis appears to be beneficial include ((chemotherapy related)), but are not limited to:
- 20 <u>(i) N</u>ausea ((and)), vomiting ((in cancer patients; AIDS wasting
 21 syndrome)), and cachexia associated with cancer, HIV-positive status,
 22 AIDS, hepatitis C, anorexia, and their treatments;
 - (ii) Severe muscle spasms associated with multiple sclerosis,
 epilepsy, and other seizure and spasticity disorders; ((epilepsy;))
 - (iii) Acute or chronic glaucoma;
- 26 (iv) Crohn's disease; and

5

6 7

8

9

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

23

24

- 27 (v) Some forms of intractable pain.
- ((The people find that)) (b) Humanitarian compassion necessitates that the decision to ((authorize the medical)) use ((of marijuana)) cannabis by patients with terminal or debilitating ((illnesses)) medical conditions is a personal, individual decision, based upon their health care professional's professional medical judgment and discretion.
- 34 <u>(2)</u> Therefore, the ((people of the state of Washington))
 35 legislature intends that:
- 36 <u>(a)</u> Qualifying patients with terminal or debilitating ((illnesses))
 37 <u>medical conditions</u> who, in the judgment of their health care

professionals, may benefit from the medical use of ((marijuana))
cannabis, shall not be ((found guilty of a crime under state law for
their possession and limited use of marijuana)) arrested, prosecuted,
or subject to other criminal sanctions or civil consequences under
state law based solely on their medical use of cannabis,
notwithstanding any other provision of law;

- (b) Persons who act as designated providers to such patients shall also not be ((found guilty of a crime under state law for)) arrested, prosecuted, or subject to other criminal sanctions or civil consequences under state law, notwithstanding any other provision of law, based solely on their assisting with the medical use of ((marijuana)) cannabis; and
- (c) Health care professionals <u>shall</u> also ((be excepted from liability and prosecution)) not be arrested, prosecuted, or subject to other criminal sanctions or civil consequences under state law for the proper authorization of ((marijuana)) medical use ((to)) of cannabis by qualifying patients for whom, in the health care professional's professional judgment, <u>the medical ((marijuana)) use of cannabis</u> may prove beneficial.
- (3) Nothing in this chapter establishes the medical necessity or medical appropriateness of cannabis for treating terminal or debilitating medical conditions as defined in RCW 69.51A.010.
 - (4) Nothing in this chapter diminishes the authority of correctional agencies and departments, including local governments or jails, to establish a procedure for determining when the use of cannabis would impact community safety or the effective supervision of those on active supervision for a criminal conviction, nor does it create the right to any accommodation of any medical use of cannabis in any correctional facility or jail.
- **Sec. 103.** RCW 69.51A.020 and 1999 c 2 s 3 are each amended to read 31 as follows:
- Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to supersede Washington state law prohibiting the acquisition, possession, manufacture, sale, or use of ((marijuana)) cannabis for nonmedical purposes. Criminal penalties created under this act do not preclude the prosecution or punishment for other crimes, including other crimes involving the manufacture or delivery of cannabis for nonmedical purposes.

Sec. 201. RCW 69.51A.010 and 2010 c 284 s 2 are each amended to 4 read as follows:

The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

- (1) "Cannabis" means all parts of the plant Cannabis, whether growing or not; the seeds thereof; the resin extracted from any part of the plant; and every compound, manufacture, salt, derivative, mixture, or preparation of the plant, its seeds, or resin. For the purposes of this chapter, "cannabis" does not include the mature stalks of the plant, fiber produced from the stalks, oil or cake made from the seeds of the plant, any other compound, manufacture, salt, derivative, mixture, or preparation of the mature stalks, except the resin extracted therefrom, fiber, oil, or cake, or the sterilized seed of the plant which is incapable of germination. The term "cannabis" includes cannabis products and useable cannabis.
- (2) "Cannabis analysis laboratory" means a laboratory that performs chemical analysis and inspection of cannabis samples.
 - (3) "Cannabis products" means products that contain cannabis or cannabis extracts, have a measurable THC concentration greater than three-tenths of one percent, and are intended for human consumption or application, including, but not limited to, edible products, tinctures, and lotions. The term "cannabis products" does not include useable cannabis. The definition of "cannabis products" as a measurement of THC concentration only applies to the provisions of this chapter and shall not be considered applicable to any criminal laws related to marijuana or cannabis.
- 29 (4) "Correctional facility" has the same meaning as provided in RCW 30 72.09.015.
- (5) "Corrections agency or department" means any agency or department in the state of Washington, including local governments or jails, that is vested with the responsibility to manage those individuals who are being supervised in the community for a criminal conviction and has established a written policy for determining when the medical use of cannabis, including possession, manufacture, or delivery of, or for possession with intent to manufacture or deliver, is inconsistent with and contrary to the person's supervision.

- 1 <u>(6)</u> "Designated provider" means a person who:
 - (a) Is eighteen years of age or older;

3 4

5

7

8

9

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (b) Has been designated in ((writing)) a written document signed and dated by a qualifying patient to serve as a designated provider under this chapter; and
 - (c) Is ((prohibited from consuming marijuana obtained for the personal, medical use of the patient for whom the individual is acting as designated provider; and
 - (d) Is the designated provider to only one patient at any one time.
- 10 (2)) in compliance with the terms and conditions set forth in RCW 11 69.51A.040.
- A qualifying patient may be the designated provider for another qualifying patient and be in possession of both patients' cannabis at the same time.
 - (7) "Director" means the director of the department of agriculture.
- 16 (8) "Dispense" means the selection, measuring, packaging, labeling,
 17 delivery, or retail sale of cannabis by a licensed dispenser to a
 18 qualifying patient or designated provider.
 - (9) "Health care professional," for purposes of this chapter only, means a physician licensed under chapter 18.71 RCW, a physician assistant licensed under chapter 18.71A RCW, an osteopathic physician licensed under chapter 18.57 RCW, an osteopathic physicians' assistant licensed under chapter 18.57A RCW, a naturopath licensed under chapter 18.36A RCW, or an advanced registered nurse practitioner licensed under chapter 18.79 RCW.
- 26 $((\frac{3}{3}))$ <u>(10) "Jail" has the same meaning as provided in RCW 70.48.020.</u>
- 28 <u>(11) "Labeling" means all labels and other written, printed, or</u> 29 <u>graphic matter (a) upon any cannabis intended for medical use, or (b)</u> 30 accompanying such cannabis.
- 31 (12) "Licensed dispenser" means a person licensed to dispense 32 cannabis for medical use to qualifying patients and designated 33 providers by the department of health in accordance with rules adopted 34 by the department of health pursuant to the terms of this chapter.
- 35 (13) "Licensed processor of cannabis products" means a person 36 licensed by the department of agriculture to manufacture, process, 37 handle, and label cannabis products for wholesale to licensed 38 dispensers.

- (14) "Licensed producer" means a person licensed by the department 1 of agriculture to produce cannabis for medical use for wholesale to 2 licensed dispensers and licensed processors of cannabis products in 3 accordance with rules adopted by the department of agriculture pursuant 4 to the terms of this chapter. 5
 - (15) "Medical use of ((marijuana)) cannabis" means the manufacture, production, processing, possession, transportation, delivery, dispensing, ingestion, application, or administration of ((marijuana, as defined in RCW $69.50.101(q)_{-}$)) cannabis for the exclusive benefit of a qualifying patient in the treatment of his or her terminal or debilitating ((illness)) medical condition.
- 12 $((\frac{4}{1}))$ (16) "Nonresident" means a person who is temporarily in the 13 state but is not a Washington state resident.
- (17) "Peace officer" means any law enforcement personnel as defined 14 15 in RCW 43.101.010.
- (18) "Person" means an individual or an entity. 16
- (19) "Personally identifiable information" means any information 17 that includes, but is not limited to, data that uniquely identify, 18 distinguish, or trace a person's identity, such as the person's name, 20 date of birth, or address, either alone or when combined with other 21 sources, that establish the person is a qualifying patient, designated provider, licensed producer, or licensed processor of cannabis products 22 for purposes of registration with the department of health or 23 24 department of agriculture. The term "personally identifiable information" also means any information used by the department of 25 health or department of agriculture to identify a person as a 26 qualifying patient, designated provider, licensed producer, or licensed 27 processor of cannabis products. 28
 - (20) "Plant" means an organism having at least three distinguishable and distinct leaves, each leaf being at least three centimeters in diameter, and a readily observable root formation consisting of at least two separate and distinct roots, each being at least two centimeters in length. Multiple stalks emanating from the same root ball or root system shall be considered part of the same single plant.
- 36 (21) "Process" means to handle or process cannabis in preparation 37 for medical use.

8 9

10 11

19

29

30

31

32

33

1 (22) "Processing facility" means the premises and equipment where 2 cannabis products are manufactured, processed, handled, and labeled for 3 wholesale to licensed dispensers.

4

6

7

8

10 11

12

- (23) "Produce" means to plant, grow, or harvest cannabis for medical use.
 - (24) "Production facility" means the premises and equipment where cannabis is planted, grown, harvested, processed, stored, handled, packaged, or labeled by a licensed producer for wholesale, delivery, or transportation to a licensed dispenser or licensed processor of cannabis products, and all vehicles and equipment used to transport cannabis from a licensed producer to a licensed dispenser or licensed processor of cannabis products.
- 13 (25) "Public place" includes streets and alleys of incorporated cities and towns; state or county or township highways or roads; 14 buildings and grounds used for school purposes; public dance halls and 15 grounds adjacent thereto; premises where goods and services are offered 16 to the public for retail sale; public buildings, public meeting halls, 17 lobbies, halls and dining rooms of hotels, restaurants, theatres, 18 19 stores, garages, and filling stations which are open to and are 20 generally used by the public and to which the public is permitted to 21 have unrestricted access; railroad trains, stages, buses, ferries, and other public conveyances of all kinds and character, and the depots, 22 stops, and waiting rooms used in conjunction therewith which are open 23 24 to unrestricted use and access by the public; publicly owned bathing beaches, parks, or playgrounds; and all other places of like or similar 25 26 nature to which the general public has unrestricted right of access, 27 and which are generally used by the public.
 - (26) "Qualifying patient" means a person who:
- 29 (a)(i) Is a patient of a health care professional;
- $((\frac{b}{b}))$ (ii) Has been diagnosed by that health care professional as having a terminal or debilitating medical condition;
- (((c))) (iii) Is a resident of the state of Washington at the time of such diagnosis;
- $((\frac{d}{d}))$ (iv) Has been advised by that health care professional about the risks and benefits of the medical use of $(\frac{marijuana}{d})$ cannabis; $(\frac{d}{d})$
- $\frac{(e)}{(v)}$ Has been advised by that health care professional that

- 1 ((they)) he or she may benefit from the medical use of ((marijuana))
 2 cannabis; and
 - (vi) Is otherwise in compliance with the terms and conditions established in this chapter.
 - (b) The term "qualifying patient" does not include a person who is actively being supervised for a criminal conviction by a corrections agency or department that has determined that the terms of this chapter are inconsistent with and contrary to his or her supervision and all related processes and procedures related to that supervision.
 - $((\frac{5}{1}))$ (27) "Secretary" means the secretary of health.
 - (28) "Tamper-resistant paper" means paper that meets one or more of the following industry-recognized features:
 - (a) One or more features designed to prevent copying of the paper;
 - (b) One or more features designed to prevent the erasure or modification of information on the paper; or
- 16 (c) One or more features designed to prevent the use of counterfeit 17 valid documentation.
 - $((\frac{6}{}))$ (29) "Terminal or debilitating medical condition" means:
 - (a) Cancer, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), multiple sclerosis, epilepsy or other seizure disorder, or spasticity disorders; or
 - (b) Intractable pain, limited for the purpose of this chapter to mean pain unrelieved by standard medical treatments and medications; or
 - (c) Glaucoma, either acute or chronic, limited for the purpose of this chapter to mean increased intraocular pressure unrelieved by standard treatments and medications; or
 - (d) Crohn's disease with debilitating symptoms unrelieved by standard treatments or medications; or
 - (e) Hepatitis C with debilitating nausea or intractable pain unrelieved by standard treatments or medications; or
 - (f) Diseases, including anorexia, which result in nausea, vomiting, ((wasting)) cachexia, appetite loss, cramping, seizures, muscle spasms, or spasticity, when these symptoms are unrelieved by standard treatments or medications; or
 - (g) Any other medical condition duly approved by the Washington state medical quality assurance commission in consultation with the board of osteopathic medicine and surgery as directed in this chapter.
- 37 $((\frac{7}{1}))$ <u>(30)</u> "THC concentration" means percent of

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

2829

30

3132

33

34

- 1 tetrahydrocannabinol content per weight or volume of useable cannabis
 2 or cannabis product.
 - (31) "Useable cannabis" means dried flowers of the Cannabis plant having a THC concentration greater than three-tenths of one percent.

 Useable cannabis excludes stems, stalks, leaves, seeds, and roots. For purposes of this subsection, "dried" means containing less than fifteen percent moisture content by weight. The term "useable cannabis" does not include cannabis products.
 - (32)(a) Until January 1, 2013, "valid documentation" means:
- 15 (b))) (ii) Proof of identity such as a Washington state driver's license or identicard, as defined in RCW 46.20.035; and
 - (iii) In the case of a designated provider, the signed and dated document valid for one year from the date of signature executed by the qualifying patient who has designated the provider; and
 - (b) Beginning July 1, 2012, "valid documentation" means:
- 21 (i) An original statement signed and dated by a qualifying
 22 patient's health care professional written on tamper-resistant paper
 23 and valid for up to one year from the date of the health care
 24 professional's signature, which states that, in the health care
 25 professional's professional opinion, the patient may benefit from the
 26 medical use of cannabis;
- 27 <u>(ii) Proof of identity such as a Washington state driver's license</u> 28 or identicard, as defined in RCW 46.20.035; and
- 29 <u>(iii)</u> In the case of a designated provider, the signed and dated 30 <u>document valid for up to one year from the date of signature executed</u> 31 by the qualifying patient who has designated the provider.

32 PART III

3

4

5

6

7

9

17

18

19

20

33 PROTECTIONS FOR HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS

- 34 **Sec. 301.** RCW 69.51A.030 and 2010 c 284 s 3 are each amended to read as follows:
- 36 ((A health care professional shall be excepted from the state's

- criminal laws and shall not be penalized in any manner, or denied any right or privilege, for)) (1) The following acts do not constitute crimes under state law or unprofessional conduct under chapter 18.130 RCW, and a health care professional may not be arrested, searched, prosecuted, disciplined, or subject to other criminal sanctions or civil consequences or liability under state law, or have real or personal property searched, seized, or forfeited pursuant to state law, notwithstanding any other provision of law as long as the health care professional complies with subsection (2) of this section:
 - ((\(\frac{(1)}{(1)}\)) (a) Advising a ((\(\frac{qualifying}{a}\))) patient about the risks and benefits of medical use of ((\(\frac{marijuana}{a}\))) cannabis or that the ((\(\frac{qualifying}{a}\))) patient may benefit from the medical use of ((\(\frac{marijuana}{a}\))) where such use is within a professional standard of care or in the individual health care professional's medical judgment)) cannabis; or
 - (((2))) (b) Providing a ((qualifying)) patient meeting the criteria established under RCW 69.51A.010(26) with valid documentation, based upon the health care professional's assessment of the ((qualifying)) patient's medical history and current medical condition, ((that the medical use of marijuana may benefit a particular qualifying patient)) where such use is within a professional standard of care or in the individual health care professional's medical judgment.
 - (2)(a) A health care professional may only provide a patient with valid documentation authorizing the medical use of cannabis or register the patient with the registry established in section 901 of this act if he or she has a newly initiated or existing documented relationship with the patient, as a primary care provider or a specialist, relating to the diagnosis and ongoing treatment or monitoring of the patient's terminal or debilitating medical condition, and only after:
- 29 <u>(i) Completing a physical examination of the patient as</u> 30 appropriate, based on the patient's condition and age;
 - (ii) Documenting the terminal or debilitating medical condition of the patient in the patient's medical record and that the patient may benefit from treatment of this condition or its symptoms with medical use of cannabis;
- (iii) Informing the patient of other options for treating the terminal or debilitating medical condition; and
 - (iv) Documenting other measures attempted to treat the terminal or

- debilitating medical condition that do not involve the medical use of cannabis.
 - (b) A health care professional shall not:

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

25

28

29

30

31

3233

- (i) Accept, solicit, or offer any form of pecuniary remuneration from or to a licensed dispenser, licensed producer, or licensed processor of cannabis products;
- (ii) Offer a discount or any other thing of value to a qualifying patient who is a customer of, or agrees to be a customer of, a particular licensed dispenser, licensed producer, or licensed processor of cannabis products;
- (iii) Examine or offer to examine a patient for purposes of diagnosing a terminal or debilitating medical condition at a location where cannabis is produced, processed, or dispensed;
- 14 <u>(iv) Have a business or practice which consists solely of</u> 15 <u>authorizing the medical use of cannabis;</u>
- 16 <u>(v) Include any statement or reference, visual or otherwise, on the</u>
 17 <u>medical use of cannabis in any advertisement for his or her business or</u>
 18 <u>practice; or</u>
- 19 <u>(vi) Hold an economic interest in an enterprise that produces,</u> 20 <u>processes, or dispenses cannabis if the health care professional</u> 21 <u>authorizes the medical use of cannabis.</u>
- 22 (3) A violation of any provision of subsection (2) of this section 23 constitutes unprofessional conduct under chapter 18.130 RCW.

24 PART IV

PROTECTIONS FOR QUALIFYING PATIENTS AND DESIGNATED PROVIDERS

- 26 **Sec. 401.** RCW 69.51A.040 and 2007 c 371 s 5 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (((1) If a law enforcement officer determines that marijuana is being possessed lawfully under the medical marijuana law, the officer may document the amount of marijuana, take a representative sample that is large enough to test, but not seize the marijuana. A law enforcement officer or agency shall not be held civilly liable for failure to seize marijuana in this circumstance.
- (2) If charged with a violation of state law relating to marijuana, any qualifying patient who is engaged in the medical use of marijuana, or any designated provider who assists a qualifying patient in the

- medical use of marijuana, will be deemed to have established an affirmative defense to such charges by proof of his or her compliance with the requirements provided in this chapter. Any person meeting the requirements appropriate to his or her status under this chapter shall be considered to have engaged in activities permitted by this chapter and shall not be penalized in any manner, or denied any right or privilege, for such actions.
- (3) A qualifying patient, if eighteen years of age or older, or a designated provider shall:
- (a) Meet all criteria for status as a qualifying patient or designated provider;
- (b) Possess no more marijuana than is necessary for the patient's personal, medical use, not exceeding the amount necessary for a sixty-day supply; and
- (c) Present his or her valid documentation to any law enforcement official who questions the patient or provider regarding his or her medical use of marijuana.
- (4) A qualifying patient, if under eighteen years of age at the time he or she is alleged to have committed the offense, shall demonstrate compliance with subsection (3)(a) and (c) of this section. However, any possession under subsection (3)(b) of this section, as well as any production, acquisition, and decision as to dosage and frequency of use, shall be the responsibility of the parent or legal quardian of the qualifying patient.)) The medical use of cannabis in accordance with the terms and conditions of this chapter does not constitute a crime and a qualifying patient or designated provider in compliance with the terms and conditions of this chapter may not be arrested, prosecuted, or subject to other criminal sanctions or civil consequences, for possession, manufacture, or delivery of, or for possession with intent to manufacture or deliver, cannabis under state law, or have real or personal property seized or forfeited for possession, manufacture, or delivery of, or for possession with intent to manufacture or deliver, cannabis under state law, and investigating peace officers and law enforcement agencies may not be held civilly liable for failure to seize cannabis in this circumstance, if:
- (1)(a) The qualifying patient or designated provider possesses no more than fifteen cannabis plants and:
 - (i) No more than twenty-four ounces of useable cannabis;

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

3031

32

33

34

3536

37

1 <u>(ii) No more cannabis product than what could reasonably be</u>
2 produced with no more than twenty-four ounces of useable cannabis; or

- (iii) A combination of useable cannabis and cannabis product that does not exceed a combined total representing possession and processing of no more than twenty-four ounces of useable cannabis.
- (b) If a person is both a qualifying patient and a designated provider for another qualifying patient, the person may possess no more than twice the amounts described in (a) of this subsection, whether the plants, useable cannabis, and cannabis product are possessed individually or in combination between the qualifying patient and his or her designated provider;
- (2) The qualifying patient or designated provider presents his or her proof of registration with the department of health, to any peace officer who questions the patient or provider regarding his or her medical use of cannabis;
- (3) The qualifying patient or designated provider keeps a copy of his or her proof of registration with the registry established in section 901 of this act and the qualifying patient or designated provider's contact information posted prominently next to any cannabis plants, cannabis products, or useable cannabis located at his or her residence;
 - (4) The investigating peace officer does not possess evidence that:
- (a) The designated provider has converted cannabis produced or obtained for the qualifying patient for his or her own personal use or benefit; or
 - (b) The qualifying patient has converted cannabis produced or obtained for his or her own medical use to the qualifying patient's personal, nonmedical use or benefit;
- (5) The investigating peace officer does not possess evidence that the designated provider has served as a designated provider to more than one qualifying patient within a fifteen-day period; and
- 32 (6) The investigating peace officer has not observed evidence of 33 any of the circumstances identified in section 901(4) of this act.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 402. (1) A qualifying patient or designated provider who is not registered with the registry established in section 901 of this act may raise the affirmative defense set forth in subsection (2) of this section, if:

- (a) The qualifying patient or designated provider presents his or her valid documentation to any peace officer who questions the patient or provider regarding his or her medical use of cannabis;
- (b) The qualifying patient or designated provider possesses no more cannabis than the limits set forth in RCW 69.51A.040(1);
- (c) The qualifying patient or designated provider is in compliance with all other terms and conditions of this chapter;
- (d) The investigating peace officer does not have probable cause to believe that the qualifying patient or designated provider has committed a felony, or is committing a misdemeanor in the officer's presence, that does not relate to the medical use of cannabis;
- (e) No outstanding warrant for arrest exists for the qualifying patient or designated provider; and
- (f) The investigating peace officer has not observed evidence of any of the circumstances identified in section 901(4) of this act.
- (2) A qualifying patient or designated provider who is not registered with the registry established in section 901 of this act, but who presents his or her valid documentation to any peace officer who questions the patient or provider regarding his or her medical use of cannabis, may assert an affirmative defense to charges of violations of state law relating to cannabis through proof at trial, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he or she otherwise meets the requirements of RCW 69.51A.040. A qualifying patient or designated provider meeting the conditions of this subsection but possessing more cannabis than the limits set forth in RCW 69.51A.040(1) may, in the investigating peace officer's discretion, be taken into custody and booked into jail in connection with the investigation of the incident.
- NEW SECTION. **Sec. 403.** (1) Qualifying patients may create and participate in collective gardens for the purpose of producing, processing, transporting, and delivering cannabis for medical use subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) No more than ten qualifying patients may participate in a single collective garden at any time;
- 34 (b) A collective garden may contain no more than fifteen plants per 35 patient up to a total of forty-five plants;
 - (c) A collective garden may contain no more than twenty-four ounces

of useable cannabis per patient up to a total of seventy-two ounces of useable cannabis;

- (d) A copy of each qualifying patient's valid documentation or proof of registration with the registry established in section 901 of this act, including a copy of the patient's proof of identity, must be available at all times on the premises of the collective garden; and
- (e) No useable cannabis from the collective garden is delivered to anyone other than one of the qualifying patients participating in the collective garden.
- (2) For purposes of this section, the creation of a "collective garden" means qualifying patients sharing responsibility for acquiring and supplying the resources required to produce and process cannabis for medical use such as, for example, a location for a collective garden; equipment, supplies, and labor necessary to plant, grow, and harvest cannabis; cannabis plants, seeds, and cuttings; and equipment, supplies, and labor necessary for proper construction, plumbing, wiring, and ventilation of a garden of cannabis plants.
- 18 (3) A person who knowingly violates a provision of subsection (1) 19 of this section is not entitled to the protections of this chapter.
 - NEW SECTION. Sec. 404. (1) A qualifying patient may revoke his or her designation of a specific provider and designate a different provider at any time. A revocation of designation must be in writing, signed and dated. The protections of this chapter cease to apply to a person who has served as a designated provider to a qualifying patient seventy-two hours after receipt of that patient's revocation of his or her designation.
 - (2) A person may stop serving as a designated provider to a given qualifying patient at any time. However, that person may not begin serving as a designated provider to a different qualifying patient until fifteen days have elapsed from the date the last qualifying patient designated him or her to serve as a provider.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 405. A qualifying patient or designated provider in possession of cannabis plants, useable cannabis, or cannabis product exceeding the limits set forth in RCW 69.51A.040(1) but otherwise in compliance with all other terms and conditions of this chapter may establish an affirmative defense to charges of violations

of state law relating to cannabis through proof at trial, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the qualifying patient's necessary medical use exceeds the amounts set forth in RCW 69.51A.040(1). An investigating peace officer may seize cannabis plants, useable cannabis, or cannabis product exceeding the amounts set forth in RCW 69.51A.040(1): PROVIDED, That in the case of cannabis plants, the qualifying patient or designated provider shall be allowed to select the plants that will remain at the location. The officer and his or her law enforcement agency may not be held civilly liable for failure to seize cannabis in this circumstance.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 406. A qualifying patient or designated provider who is not registered with the registry established in section 901 of this act or does not present his or her valid documentation to a peace officer who questions the patient or provider regarding his or her medical use of cannabis but is in compliance with all other terms and conditions of this chapter may establish an affirmative defense to charges of violations of state law relating to cannabis through proof at trial, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he or she was a validly authorized qualifying patient or designated provider at the time of the officer's questioning. A qualifying patient or designated provider who establishes an affirmative defense under the terms of this section may also establish an affirmative defense under section 405 of this act.

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 407.** A nonresident who is duly authorized to engage in the medical use of cannabis under the laws of another state or territory of the United States may raise an affirmative defense to charges of violations of Washington state law relating to cannabis, provided that the nonresident:

- (1) Possesses no more than fifteen cannabis plants and no more than twenty-four ounces of useable cannabis, no more cannabis product than reasonably could be produced with no more than twenty-four ounces of useable cannabis, or a combination of useable cannabis and cannabis product that does not exceed a combined total representing possession and processing of no more than twenty-four ounces of useable cannabis;
 - (2) Is in compliance with all provisions of this chapter other than

requirements relating to being a Washington resident or possessing valid documentation issued by a licensed health care professional in Washington;

- (3) Presents the documentation of authorization required under the nonresident's authorizing state or territory's law and proof of identity issued by the authorizing state or territory to any peace officer who questions the nonresident regarding his or her medical use of cannabis; and
- 9 (4) Does not possess evidence that the nonresident has converted 10 cannabis produced or obtained for his or her own medical use to the 11 nonresident's personal, nonmedical use or benefit.
 - NEW SECTION. Sec. 408. A qualifying patient's medical use of cannabis as authorized by a health care professional may not be a sole disqualifying factor in determining the patient's suitability for an organ transplant, unless it is shown that this use poses a significant risk of rejection or organ failure. This section does not preclude a health care professional from requiring that a patient abstain from the medical use of cannabis, for a period of time determined by the health care professional, while waiting for a transplant organ or before the patient undergoes an organ transplant.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 409. A qualifying patient or designated provider may not have his or her parental rights or residential time with a child restricted solely due to his or her medical use of cannabis in compliance with the terms of this chapter absent written findings supported by evidence that such use has resulted in a long-term impairment that interferes with the performance of parenting functions as defined under RCW 26.09.004.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 410. (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, a qualifying patient may not be refused housing or evicted from housing solely as a result of his or her possession or use of useable cannabis or cannabis products except that housing providers otherwise permitted to enact and enforce prohibitions against smoking in their housing may apply those prohibitions to smoking cannabis provided that such smoking prohibitions are applied and enforced

- equally as to the smoking of cannabis and the smoking of all other substances, including without limitation tobacco.
- 3 (2) Housing programs containing a program component prohibiting the 4 use of drugs or alcohol among its residents are not required to permit 5 the medical use of cannabis among those residents.
- 6 NEW SECTION. Sec. 411. In imposing any criminal sentence, 7 deferred prosecution, stipulated order of continuance, deferred disposition, or dispositional order, any court organized under the laws 8 9 of Washington state may permit the medical use of cannabis in compliance with the terms of this chapter and exclude it as a possible 10 11 ground for finding that the offender has violated the conditions or requirements of the sentence, deferred prosecution, stipulated order of 12 13 continuance, deferred disposition, or dispositional order. section does not require the accommodation of any medical use of 14 15 cannabis in any correctional facility or jail.
- 16 **Sec. 412.** RCW 69.51A.050 and 1999 c 2 s 7 are each amended to read 17 as follows:
 - (1) The lawful possession, delivery, dispensing, production, or manufacture of ((medical marijuana)) cannabis for medical use as authorized by this chapter shall not result in the forfeiture or seizure of any real or personal property including, but not limited to, cannabis intended for medical use, items used to facilitate the medical use of cannabis or its production or dispensing for medical use, or proceeds of sales of cannabis for medical use made by licensed producers, licensed processors of cannabis products, or licensed dispensers.
 - (2) No person shall be prosecuted for constructive possession, conspiracy, or any other criminal offense solely for being in the presence or vicinity of ((medical marijuana)) cannabis intended for medical use or its use as authorized by this chapter.
- 31 (3) The state shall not be held liable for any deleterious outcomes 32 from the medical use of ((marijuana)) cannabis by any qualifying 33 patient.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 413. Nothing in this chapter or in the rules adopted to implement it precludes a qualifying patient or designated

20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

29

- 1 provider from engaging in the private, unlicensed, noncommercial
- 2 production, possession, transportation, delivery, or administration of
- 3 cannabis for medical use as authorized under RCW 69.51A.040.

4 PART V

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

23

24

25

2627

28

5 LIMITATIONS ON PROTECTIONS FOR QUALIFYING 6 PATIENTS AND DESIGNATED PROVIDERS

7 **Sec. 501.** RCW 69.51A.060 and 2010 c 284 s 4 are each amended to 8 read as follows:

- 9 (1) It shall be a ((misdemeanor)) class 3 civil infraction to use 10 or display medical ((marijuana)) cannabis in a manner or place which is 11 open to the view of the general public.
 - (2) Nothing in this chapter ((requires any health insurance provider)) establishes a right of care as a covered benefit or requires any state purchased health care as defined in RCW 41.05.011 or other health carrier or health plan as defined in Title 48 RCW to be liable for any claim for reimbursement for the medical use of ((marijuana)) cannabis. Such entities may enact coverage or noncoverage criteria or related policies for payment or nonpayment of medical cannabis in their sole discretion.
- 20 (3) Nothing in this chapter requires any health care professional 21 to authorize the <u>medical</u> use of ((medical marijuana)) <u>cannabis</u> for a 22 patient.
 - (4) Nothing in this chapter requires any accommodation of any onsite medical use of ((marijuana)) cannabis in any place of employment, in any school bus or on any school grounds, in any youth center, in any correctional facility, or smoking ((medical marijuana)) cannabis in any public place ((as that term is defined in RCW 70.160.020)) or hotel or motel.
- 29 (5) Nothing in this chapter authorizes the use of medical cannabis 30 by any person who is subject to the Washington code of military justice 31 in chapter 38.38 RCW.
- (6) Employers may establish drug-free work policies. Nothing in this chapter requires an accommodation for the medical use of cannabis if an employer has a drug-free work place.
- 35 <u>(7)</u> It is a class C felony to fraudulently produce any record purporting to be, or tamper with the content of any record for the

purpose of having it accepted as, valid documentation under RCW $69.51A.010((\frac{7}{)}))$ (32)(a), or to backdate such documentation to a time earlier than its actual date of execution.

((+6+)) (8) No person shall be entitled to claim the ((affirmative defense provided in RCW 69.51A.040)) protection from arrest and prosecution under RCW 69.51A.040 or the affirmative defense under section 402 of this act for engaging in the medical use of ((marijuana)) cannabis in a way that endangers the health or well-being of any person through the use of a motorized vehicle on a street, road, or highway, including violations of RCW 46.61.502 or 46.61.504, or equivalent local ordinances.

12 PART VI

1 2

3

5

6

7

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LICENSED PRODUCERS AND LICENSED PROCESSORS OF CANNABIS PRODUCTS

NEW SECTION. Sec. 601. A person may not act as a licensed producer without a license for each production facility issued by the department of agriculture and prominently displayed on the premises. Provided they are acting in compliance with the terms of this chapter and rules adopted to enforce and carry out its purposes, licensed producers and their employees, members, officers, and directors may manufacture, plant, cultivate, grow, harvest, produce, prepare, propagate, process, package, repackage, transport, transfer, deliver, label, relabel, wholesale, or possess cannabis intended for medical use by qualifying patients, including seeds, seedlings, cuttings, plants, and useable cannabis, and may not be arrested, searched, prosecuted, or subject to other criminal sanctions or civil consequences under state law, or have real or personal property searched, seized, or forfeited pursuant to state law, for such activities, notwithstanding any other provision of law.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 602. A person may not act as a licensed processor without a license for each processing facility issued by the department of agriculture and prominently displayed on the premises. Provided they are acting in compliance with the terms of this chapter and rules adopted to enforce and carry out its purposes, licensed processors of cannabis products and their employees, members, officers, and directors may possess useable cannabis and manufacture, produce,

- 1 prepare, process, package, repackage, transport, transfer, deliver,
- 2 label, relabel, wholesale, or possess cannabis products intended for
- 3 medical use by qualifying patients, and may not be arrested, searched,
- 4 prosecuted, or subject to other criminal sanctions or civil
- 5 consequences under state law, or have real or personal property
- 6 searched, seized, or forfeited pursuant to state law, for such
- 7 activities, notwithstanding any other provision of law.
- 8 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 603.** The director shall administer and carry
- 9 out the provisions of this chapter relating to licensed producers and
- 10 licensed processors of cannabis products, and rules adopted under this
- 11 chapter.
- 12 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 604.** (1) On a schedule determined by the
- 13 department of agriculture, licensed producers and licensed processors
- 14 must submit representative samples of cannabis grown or processed to a
- 15 cannabis analysis laboratory for grade, condition, cannabinoid profile,
- 16 THC concentration, other qualitative measurements of cannabis intended
- 17 for medical use, and other inspection standards determined by the
- 18 department of agriculture. Any samples remaining after testing must be
- 19 destroyed by the laboratory or returned to the licensed producer or
- 20 licensed processor.
- 21 (2) Licensed producers and licensed processors must submit copies
- 22 of the results of this inspection and testing to the department of
- 23 agriculture on a form developed by the department.
- 24 (3) If a representative sample of cannabis tested under this
- 25 section has a THC concentration of three-tenths of one percent or less,
- 26 the lot of cannabis the sample was taken from may not be sold for
- 27 medical use and must be destroyed or sold to a manufacturer of hemp
- 28 products.
- 29 NEW SECTION. Sec. 605. The department of agriculture may contract
- 30 with a cannabis analysis laboratory to conduct independent inspection
- 31 and testing of cannabis samples to verify testing results provided
- 32 under section 604 of this act.
- 33 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 606.** The department of agriculture may adopt
- 34 rules on:

- 1 (1) Facility standards, including scales, for all licensed 2 producers and licensed processors of cannabis products;
 - (2) Measurements for cannabis intended for medical use, including grade, condition, cannabinoid profile, THC concentration, other qualitative measurements, and other inspection standards for cannabis intended for medical use; and
 - (3) Methods to identify cannabis intended for medical use so that such cannabis may be readily identified if stolen or removed in violation of the provisions of this chapter from a production or processing facility, or if otherwise unlawfully transported.
- 11 NEW SECTION. Sec. 607. The director is authorized to deny, 12 suspend, or revoke a producer's or processor's license after a hearing 13 in any case in which it is determined that there has been a violation or refusal to comply with the requirements of this chapter or rules 14 adopted hereunder. All hearings for the denial, suspension, 15 16 revocation of a producer's or processor's license are subject to 17 chapter 34.05 RCW, the administrative procedure act, as enacted or hereafter amended. 18
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 608. (1) By January 1, 2013, taking into consideration, but not being limited by, the security requirements described in 21 C.F.R. Sec. 1301.71-1301.76, the director shall adopt rules:
 - (a) On the inspection or grading and certification of grade, grading factors, condition, cannabinoid profile, THC concentration, or other qualitative measurement of cannabis intended for medical use that must be used by cannabis analysis laboratories in section 604 of this act;
- 28 (b) Fixing the sizes, dimensions, and safety and security features 29 required of containers to be used for packing, handling, or storing 30 cannabis intended for medical use;
- 31 (c) Establishing labeling requirements for cannabis intended for 32 medical use including, but not limited to:
- 33 (i) The business or trade name and Washington state unified 34 business identifier (UBI) number of the licensed producer of the 35 cannabis;
 - (ii) THC concentration; and

4 5

6 7

8

9

2324

25

26

27

1 (iii) Information on whether the cannabis was grown using organic,
2 inorganic, or synthetic fertilizers;

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

17

18

2425

26

27

2829

3031

32

3334

35

- (d) Establishing requirements for transportation of cannabis intended for medical use from production facilities to processing facilities and licensed dispensers;
- (e) Establishing security requirements for the facilities of licensed producers and licensed processors of cannabis products. These security requirements must consider the safety of the licensed producers and licensed processors as well as the safety of the community surrounding the licensed producers and licensed processors;
- (f) Establishing requirements for the licensure of producers, and processors of cannabis products, setting forth procedures to obtain licenses, and determining expiration dates and renewal requirements; and
- 15 (g) Establishing license application and renewal fees for the licensure of producers and processors of cannabis products.
 - (2) Fees collected under this section must be deposited into the agricultural local fund created in RCW 43.23.230.
- 19 (3) During the rule-making process, the department of agriculture 20 shall consult with stakeholders and persons with relevant expertise, to 21 include but not be limited to qualifying patients, designated 22 providers, health care professionals, state and local law enforcement 23 agencies, and the department of health.
 - NEW SECTION. Sec. 609. (1) Each licensed producer and licensed processor of cannabis products shall maintain complete records at all times with respect to all cannabis produced, processed, weighed, tested, stored, shipped, or sold. The director shall adopt rules specifying the minimum recordkeeping requirements necessary to comply with this section.
 - (2) The property, books, records, accounts, papers, and proceedings of every licensed producer and licensed processor of cannabis products shall be subject to inspection by the department of agriculture at any time during ordinary business hours. Licensed producers and licensed processors of cannabis products shall maintain adequate records and systems for the filing and accounting of crop production, product manufacturing and processing, records of weights and measurements,

- product testing, receipts, canceled receipts, other documents, and transactions necessary or common to the medical cannabis industry.
 - (3) The director may administer oaths and issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses, or the production of books, documents, and records anywhere in the state pursuant to a hearing relative to the purposes and provisions of this chapter. Witnesses shall be entitled to fees for attendance and travel, as provided in chapter 2.40 RCW.
 - (4) Each licensed producer and licensed processor of cannabis products shall report information to the department of agriculture at such times and as may be reasonably required by the director for the necessary enforcement and supervision of a sound, reasonable, and efficient cannabis inspection program for the protection of the health and welfare of qualifying patients.
 - NEW SECTION. Sec. 610. (1) The department of agriculture may give written notice to a licensed producer or processor of cannabis products to furnish required reports, documents, or other requested information, under such conditions and at such time as the department of agriculture deems necessary if a licensed producer or processor of cannabis products fails to:
- 20 (a) Submit his or her books, papers, or property to lawful 21 inspection or audit;
- 22 (b) Submit required laboratory results, reports, or documents to 23 the department of agriculture by their due date; or
 - (c) Furnish the department of agriculture with requested information.
 - (2) If the licensed producer or processor of cannabis products fails to comply with the terms of the notice within seventy-two hours from the date of its issuance, or within such further time as the department of agriculture may allow, the department of agriculture shall levy a fine of five hundred dollars per day from the final date for compliance allowed by this section or the department of agriculture. In those cases where the failure to comply continues for more than seven days or where the director determines the failure to comply creates a threat to public health, public safety, or a substantial risk of diversion of cannabis to unauthorized persons or purposes, the department of agriculture may, in lieu of levying further

fines, petition the superior court of the county where the licensee's principal place of business in Washington is located, as shown by the license application, for an order:

4

5

6 7

8

9

13

14

15

16

2829

30

3132

3334

- (a) Authorizing the department of agriculture to seize and take possession of all books, papers, and property of all kinds used in connection with the conduct or the operation of the licensed producer or processor's business, and the books, papers, records, and property that pertain specifically, exclusively, and directly to that business; and
- 10 (b) Enjoining the licensed producer or processor from interfering 11 with the department of agriculture in the discharge of its duties as 12 required by this chapter.
 - (3) All necessary costs and expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred by the department of agriculture in carrying out the provisions of this section may be recovered at the same time and as part of the action filed under this section.
- 17 (4) The department of agriculture may request the Washington state 18 patrol to assist it in enforcing this section if needed to ensure the 19 safety of its employees.
- 20 NEW SECTION. Sec. 611. (1) A licensed producer may not sell or 21 deliver cannabis to any person other than a cannabis analysis 22 licensed processor of cannabis products, 23 dispenser, or law enforcement officer except as provided by court order. A licensed producer may also sell or deliver cannabis to the 24 25 University of Washington or Washington State University for research 26 purposes, as identified in section 1002 of this act. Violation of this 27 section is a class C felony punishable according to chapter 9A.20 RCW.
 - (2) A licensed processor of cannabis products may not sell or deliver cannabis to any person other than a cannabis analysis laboratory, licensed dispenser, or law enforcement officer except as provided by court order. A licensed processor of cannabis products may also sell or deliver cannabis to the University of Washington or Washington State University for research purposes, as identified in section 1002 of this act. Violation of this section is a class C felony punishable according to chapter 9A.20 RCW.

LICENSED DISPENSERS

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 701. 3 A person may not act as a licensed 4 dispenser without a license for each place of business issued by the department of health and prominently displayed on the premises. 5 6 Provided they are acting in compliance with the terms of this chapter 7 and rules adopted to enforce and carry out its purposes, licensed dispensers and their employees, members, officers, and directors may 8 deliver, distribute, dispense, transfer, prepare, package, repackage, 9 10 label, relabel, sell at retail, or possess cannabis intended for 11 medical use by qualifying patients, including seeds, seedlings, 12 cuttings, plants, useable cannabis, and cannabis products, and may not be arrested, searched, prosecuted, or subject to other criminal 13 sanctions or civil consequences under state law, or have real or 14 personal property searched, seized, or forfeited pursuant to state law, 15 16 for such activities, notwithstanding any other provision of law.
- NEW SECTION. **Sec. 702.** (1) By January 1, 2013, taking into consideration the security requirements described in 21 C.F.R. 1301.71-19 1301.76, the secretary of health shall adopt rules:
- 20 (a) Establishing requirements for the licensure of dispensers of 21 cannabis for medical use, setting forth procedures to obtain licenses, 22 and determining expiration dates and renewal requirements;
- 23 (b) Providing for mandatory inspection of licensed dispensers' locations;
- 25 (c) Establishing procedures governing the suspension and revocation of licenses of dispensers;
- 27 (d) Establishing recordkeeping requirements for licensed 28 dispensers;
- 29 (e) Fixing the sizes and dimensions of containers to be used for 30 dispensing cannabis for medical use;
- 31 (f) Establishing safety standards for containers to be used for 32 dispensing cannabis for medical use;
- (g) Establishing cannabis storage requirements, including security requirements;
- 35 (h) Establishing cannabis labeling requirements, to include 36 information on whether the cannabis was grown using organic, inorganic, 37 or synthetic fertilizers;

1 (i) Establishing physical standards for cannabis dispensing 2 facilities. The physical standards must require a licensed dispenser 3 to ensure that no cannabis or cannabis paraphernalia may be viewed from 4 outside the facility;

- (j) Establishing maximum amounts of cannabis and cannabis products that may be kept at one time at a dispensary. In determining maximum amounts, the secretary must consider the security of the dispensary and the surrounding community;
- (k) Establishing physical standards for sanitary conditions for cannabis dispensing facilities;
- (1) Establishing physical and sanitation standards for cannabis dispensing equipment;
- (m) Establishing a maximum number of licensed dispensers that may be licensed in each county as provided in this section;
- (n) Enforcing and carrying out the provisions of this section and the rules adopted to carry out its purposes; and
- (o) Establishing license application and renewal fees for the licensure of dispensers in accordance with RCW 43.70.250.
 - (2)(a) The secretary shall establish a maximum number of licensed dispensers that may operate in each county. Prior to January 1, 2016, the maximum number of licensed dispensers shall be based upon a ratio of one licensed dispenser for every twenty thousand persons in a county. On or after January 1, 2016, the secretary may adopt rules to adjust the method of calculating the maximum number of dispensers to consider additional factors, such as the number of enrollees in the registry established in section 901 of this act and the secretary's experience in administering the program. The secretary may not issue more licenses than the maximum number of licenses established under this section.
 - (b) In the event that the number of applicants qualifying for the selection process exceeds the maximum number for a county, the secretary shall initiate a random selection process established by the secretary in rule.
- (c) To qualify for the selection process, an applicant must demonstrate to the secretary that he or she meets initial screening criteria that represent the applicant's capacity to operate in compliance with this chapter. Initial screening criteria shall include, but not be limited to:

(i) Successful completion of a background check; 1

4

5 6

7

8

- 2 (ii) A plan to systematically verify qualifying patient and 3 designated provider status of clients;
 - (iii) Evidence of compliance with functional standards, such as ventilation and security requirements; and
 - (iv) Evidence of compliance with facility standards, such as zoning compliance and not using the facility as a residence.
 - (d) The secretary shall establish a schedule to:
- (i) Update the maximum allowable number of licensed dispensers in 9 10 each county; and
- 11 (ii) Issue approvals to operate within a county according to the 12 random selection process.
- 13 (3) Fees collected under this section must be deposited into the health professions account created in RCW 43.70.320. 14
- (4) During the rule-making process, the department of health shall 15 consult with stakeholders and persons with relevant expertise, to 16 17 include but not be limited to qualifying patients, designated providers, health care professionals, state and local law enforcement 18 19 agencies, and the department of agriculture.
- 20 NEW SECTION. Sec. 703. A licensed dispenser may not sell cannabis 21 received from any person other than a licensed producer or licensed processor of cannabis products, or sell or deliver cannabis to any 23 person other than a qualifying patient, designated provider, or law 24 enforcement officer except as provided by court order. A licensed 25 dispenser may also sell or deliver cannabis to the University of 26 Washington or Washington State University for research purposes, as identified in section 1002 of this act. Before selling or providing 27 cannabis to a qualifying patient or designated provider, the licensed 28 29 dispenser must confirm that the patient qualifies for the medical use of cannabis by contacting, at least once in a one-year period, that 30 patient's health care professional. Violation of this section is a 31 32 class C felony punishable according to chapter 9A.20 RCW.
- 33 NEW SECTION. Sec. 704. A license to operate as a licensed 34 dispenser is not transferrable.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 705. The secretary of health shall not issue or renew a license to an applicant or licensed dispenser located within five hundred feet of a community center, child care center, elementary or secondary school, or another licensed dispenser.

5 PART VIII

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS APPLYING TO ALL LICENSED PRODUCERS, PROCESSORS, AND DISPENSERS

NEW SECTION. Sec. 801. All weighing and measuring instruments and devices used by licensed producers, processors of cannabis products, and dispensers shall comply with the requirements set forth in chapter 19.94 RCW.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 802. (1) No person, partnership, corporation, association, or agency may advertise cannabis for sale to the general public in any manner that promotes or tends to promote the use or abuse of cannabis. For the purposes of this subsection, displaying cannabis, including artistic depictions of cannabis, is considered to promote or to tend to promote the use or abuse of cannabis.

- (2) The department of agriculture may fine a licensed producer or processor of cannabis products up to one thousand dollars for each violation of subsection (1) of this section. Fines collected under this subsection must be deposited into the agriculture local fund created in RCW 43.23.230.
- (3) The department of health may fine a licensed dispenser up to one thousand dollars for each violation of subsection (1) of this section. Fines collected under this subsection must be deposited into the health professions account created in RCW 43.70.320.
- (4) No broadcast television licensee, radio broadcast licensee, newspaper, magazine, advertising agency, or agency or medium for the dissemination of an advertisement, except the licensed producer, processor of cannabis products, or dispenser to which the advertisement relates, is subject to the penalties of this section by reason of dissemination of advertising in good faith without knowledge that the advertising promotes or tends to promote the use or abuse of cannabis.

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 803. (1) A prior conviction for a cannabis or marijuana offense shall not disqualify an applicant from receiving a license to produce, process, or dispense cannabis for medical use, provided the conviction did not include any sentencing enhancements under RCW 9.94A.533 or analogous laws in other jurisdictions. Any criminal conviction of a current licensee may be considered in proceedings to suspend or revoke a license.
- (2) Nothing in this section prohibits either the department of health or the department of agriculture, as appropriate, from denying, suspending, or revoking the credential of a license holder for other drug-related offenses or any other criminal offenses.
- (3) Nothing in this section prohibits a corrections agency or department from considering all prior and current convictions in determining whether the possession, manufacture, or delivery of, or for possession with intent to manufacture or deliver, is inconsistent with and contrary to the person's supervision.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 804. A violation of any provision or section of this chapter that relates to the licensing and regulation of producers, processors, or dispensers, where no other penalty is provided for, and the violation of any rule adopted under this chapter constitutes a misdemeanor.
 - NEW SECTION. Sec. 805. (1) Every licensed producer or processor of cannabis products who fails to comply with this chapter, or any rule adopted under it, may be subjected to a civil penalty, as determined by the director, in an amount of not more than one thousand dollars for every such violation. Each violation shall be a separate and distinct offense.
 - (2) Every licensed dispenser who fails to comply with this chapter, or any rule adopted under it, may be subjected to a civil penalty, as determined by the secretary, in an amount of not more than one thousand dollars for every such violation. Each violation shall be a separate and distinct offense.
- 33 (3) Every person who, through an act of commission or omission, 34 procures, aids, or abets in the violation shall be considered to have 35 violated this chapter and may be subject to the penalty provided for in 36 this section.

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15 16

22

23

24

25

2627

2829

30

31

NEW SECTION. Sec. 806. The department of agriculture or the department of health, as the case may be, must immediately suspend any certification of licensure issued under this chapter if the holder of the certificate has been certified under RCW 74.20A.320 by the department of social and health services as a person who is not in compliance with a support order. If the person has continued to meet all other requirements for certification during the suspension, reissuance of the certificate of licensure shall be automatic upon the department's receipt of a release issued by the department of social and health services stating that the person is in compliance with the order.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 807. The department of agriculture or the department of health, as the case may be, must suspend the certification of licensure of any person who has been certified by a lending agency and reported to the appropriate department for nonpayment or default on a federally or state-guaranteed educational loan or service-conditional scholarship. Prior to the suspension, the department of agriculture or the department of health, as the case may be, must provide the person an opportunity for a brief adjudicative proceeding under RCW 34.05.485 through 34.05.494 and issue a finding of nonpayment or default on a federally or state-quaranteed educational loan or service-conditional scholarship. The person's license may not be reissued until the person provides the appropriate department a written release issued by the lending agency stating that the person is making payments on the loan in accordance with a repayment agreement approved by the lending agency. If the person has continued to meet all other requirements for certification or registration during the suspension, reinstatement is automatic upon receipt of the notice and payment of any reinstatement fee.

30 PART IX

1 2

3 4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

33

34

31 SECURE REGISTRATION OF QUALIFYING PATIENTS, DESIGNATED PROVIDERS, 32 AND LICENSED PRODUCERS, PROCESSORS, AND DISPENSERS

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 901.** (1) By January 1, 2013, the department of health shall, in consultation with the department of agriculture, adopt

- rules for the creation, implementation, maintenance, and timely upgrading of a secure and confidential registration system that allows:
- (a) A peace officer to verify at any time whether a health care professional has registered a person as either a qualifying patient or a designated provider; and
- (b) A peace officer to verify at any time whether a person, location, or business is licensed by the department of agriculture or the department of health as a licensed producer, licensed processor of cannabis products, or licensed dispenser.
- (2) The department of agriculture must, in consultation with the department of health, create and maintain a secure and confidential list of persons to whom it has issued a license to produce cannabis for medical use or a license to process cannabis products, and the physical addresses of the licensees' production and processing facilities. The list must meet the requirements of subsection (9) of this section and be transmitted to the department of health to be included in the registry established by this section.
- (3) The department of health must, in consultation with the department of agriculture, create and maintain a secure and confidential list of the persons to whom it has issued a license to dispense cannabis for medical use that meets the requirements of subsection (9) of this section and must be included in the registry established by this section.
- (4) Before seeking a nonvehicle search warrant or arrest warrant, a peace officer investigating a cannabis-related incident must make reasonable efforts to ascertain whether the location or person under investigation is registered in the registration system, and include the results of this inquiry in the affidavit submitted in support of the application for the warrant. This requirement does not apply to investigations in which:
- (a) The peace officer has observed evidence of an apparent cannabis operation that is not a licensed producer, processor of cannabis products, or dispenser;
- 34 (b) The peace officer has observed evidence of theft of electrical power;
- 36 (c) The peace officer has observed evidence of illegal drugs other 37 than cannabis at the premises;

(d) The peace officer has observed frequent and numerous short-term visits over an extended period that are consistent with commercial activity, if the subject of the investigation is not a licensed dispenser;

- (e) The peace officer has observed violent crime or other demonstrated dangers to the community;
- (f) The peace officer has probable cause to believe the subject of the investigation has committed a felony, or a misdemeanor in the officer's presence, that does not relate to cannabis; or
- (g) The subject of the investigation has an outstanding arrest warrant.
- (5) Law enforcement may access the registration system only in connection with a specific, legitimate criminal investigation regarding cannabis.
- (6) Registration in the system shall be optional for qualifying patients and designated providers, not mandatory, and registrations are valid for one year, except that qualifying patients must be able to remove themselves from the registry at any time. For licensees, registrations are valid for the term of the license and the registration must be removed if the licensee's license is expired or revoked. The department of health must adopt rules providing for registration renewals and for removing expired registrations and expired or revoked licenses from the registry.
- (7) Fees, including renewal fees, for qualifying patients and designated providers participating in the registration system shall be limited to the cost to the state of implementing, maintaining, and enforcing the provisions of this section and the rules adopted to carry out its purposes. The fee shall also include any costs for the department of health to disseminate information to employees of state and local law enforcement agencies relating to whether a person is a licensed producer, processor of cannabis products, or dispenser, or that a location is the recorded address of a license producer, processor of cannabis products, or dispenser, and for the dissemination of log records relating to such requests for information to the subjects of those requests. No fee may be charged to local law enforcement agencies for accessing the registry.
- (8) During the rule-making process, the department of health shall consult with stakeholders and persons with relevant expertise, to

- include, but not be limited to, qualifying patients, designated providers, health care professionals, state and local law enforcement agencies, and the University of Washington computer science and engineering security and privacy research lab.
 - (9) The registration system shall meet the following requirements:
 - (a) Any personally identifiable information included in the registration system must be "nonreversible," pursuant to definitions and standards set forth by the national institute of standards and technology;
 - (b) Any personally identifiable information included in the registration system must not be susceptible to linkage by use of data external to the registration system;
 - (c) The registration system must incorporate current best differential privacy practices, allowing for maximum accuracy of registration system queries while minimizing the chances of identifying the personally identifiable information included therein; and
 - (d) The registration system must be upgradable and updated in a timely fashion to keep current with state of the art privacy and security standards and practices.
 - (10) The registration system shall maintain a log of each verification query submitted by a peace officer, including the peace officer's name, agency, and identification number, for a period of no less than three years from the date of the query. Personally identifiable information of qualifying patients and designated providers included in the log shall be confidential and exempt from public disclosure, inspection, or copying under chapter 42.56 RCW: PROVIDED, That:
- 28 (a) Names and other personally identifiable information from the 29 list may be released only to:
 - (i) Authorized employees of the department of agriculture and the department of health as necessary to perform official duties of either department; or
 - (ii) Authorized employees of state or local law enforcement agencies, only as necessary to verify that the person or location is a qualified patient, designated provider, licensed producer, licensed processor of cannabis products, or licensed dispenser, and only after the inquiring employee has provided adequate identification. Authorized employees who obtain personally identifiable information

- under this subsection may not release or use the information for any purpose other than verification that a person or location is a qualified patient, designated provider, licensed producer, licensed processor of cannabis products, or licensed dispenser;
 - (b) Information contained in the registration system may be released in aggregate form, with all personally identifying information redacted, for the purpose of statistical analysis and oversight of agency performance and actions;
 - (c) The subject of a registration query may appear during ordinary department of health business hours and inspect or copy log records relating to him or her upon adequate proof of identity; and
 - (d) The subject of a registration query may submit a written request to the department of health, along with adequate proof of identity, for copies of log records relating to him or her.
 - (11) This section does not prohibit a department of agriculture employee or a department of health employee from contacting state or local law enforcement for assistance during an emergency or while performing his or her duties under this chapter.
- 19 (12) Fees collected under this section must be deposited into the 20 health professions account under RCW 43.70.320.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 902. A new section is added to chapter 42.56 RCW to read as follows:
 - Records containing names and other personally identifiable information relating to qualifying patients, designated providers, and persons licensed as producers or dispensers of cannabis for medical use, or as processors of cannabis products, under section 901 of this act are exempt from disclosure under this chapter.

28 PART X 29 EVALUATION

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

23

24

25

26

27

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 1001. (1) By July 1, 2014, the Washington state institute for public policy shall, within available funds, conduct a cost-benefit evaluation of the implementation of this act and the rules adopted to carry out its purposes.
 - (2) The evaluation of the implementation of this act and the rules

- adopted to carry out its purposes shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, consideration of the following factors:
- 3 (a) Qualifying patients' access to an adequate source of cannabis 4 for medical use;
- 5 (b) Qualifying patients' access to a safe source of cannabis for 6 medical use;
- 7 (c) Qualifying patients' access to a consistent source of cannabis 8 for medical use;
- 9 (d) Qualifying patients' access to a secure source of cannabis for 10 medical use;
- 11 (e) Qualifying patients' and designated providers' contact with law 12 enforcement and involvement in the criminal justice system;
- 13 (f) Diversion of cannabis intended for medical use to nonmedical uses;
- 15 (g) Incidents of home invasion burglaries, robberies, and other 16 violent and property crimes associated with qualifying patients 17 accessing cannabis for medical use;
 - (h) Whether there are health care professionals who make a disproportionately high amount of authorizations in comparison to the health care professional community at large;
- 21 (i) Whether there are indications of health care professionals in 22 violation of RCW 69.51A.030; and
- 23 (j) Whether the health care professionals making authorizations 24 reside in this state or out of this state.
- 25 (3) For purposes of facilitating this evaluation, the departments 26 of health and agriculture will make available to the Washington state 27 institute for public policy requested data, and any other data either 28 department may consider relevant, from which all personally 29 identifiable information has been redacted.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 1002. A new section is added to chapter 28B.20 RCW to read as follows:
- The University of Washington and Washington State University may conduct scientific research on the efficacy and safety of administering cannabis as part of medical treatment. As part of this research, the University of Washington and Washington State University may develop and conduct studies to ascertain the general medical safety and

18

19

1 efficacy of cannabis and may develop medical guidelines for the 2 appropriate administration and use of cannabis.

3 PART XI

4 CONSTRUCTION

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1101. (1) No civil or criminal liability may be imposed by any court on the state or its officers and employees for actions taken in good faith under this chapter and within the scope of their assigned duties.

(2) No civil or criminal liability may be imposed by any court on cities, towns, and counties or other municipalities and their officers and employees for actions taken in good faith under this chapter and within the scope of their assigned duties.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1102. (1) Cities and towns may adopt and enforce any of the following pertaining to the production, processing, or dispensing of cannabis or cannabis products within their jurisdiction: Zoning requirements, business licensing requirements, health and safety requirements, and business taxes. Nothing in this act is intended to limit the authority of cities and towns to impose zoning requirements or other conditions upon licensed dispensers, so long as such requirements do not preclude the possibility of siting licensed dispensers within the jurisdiction. If the jurisdiction has no commercial zones, the jurisdiction is not required to adopt zoning to accommodate licensed dispensers.

(2) Counties may adopt and enforce any of the following pertaining to the production, processing, or dispensing of cannabis or cannabis products within their jurisdiction in locations outside of the corporate limits of any city or town: Zoning requirements, business licensing requirements, and health and safety requirements. Nothing in this act is intended to limit the authority of counties to impose zoning requirements or other conditions upon licensed dispensers, so long as such requirements do not preclude the possibility of siting licensed dispensers within the jurisdiction. If the jurisdiction has no commercial zones, the jurisdiction is not required to adopt zoning to accommodate licensed dispensers.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1103. If any provision of this act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of the act that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this act are severable.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1104. In the event that the federal government authorizes the use of cannabis for medical purposes, within a year of such action, the joint legislative audit and review committee shall conduct a program and fiscal review of the cannabis production and dispensing programs established in this chapter. The review shall consider whether a distinct cannabis production and dispensing system continues to be necessary when considered in light of the federal action and make recommendations to the legislature.

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 1105. (1)(a) The arrest and prosecution protections established in section 401 of this act may not be asserted in a supervision revocation or violation hearing by a person who is supervised by a corrections agency or department, including local governments or jails, that has determined that the terms of this section are inconsistent with and contrary to his or her supervision.
- (b) The affirmative defenses established in sections 402, 405, 406, and 407 of this act may not be asserted in a supervision revocation or violation hearing by a person who is supervised by a corrections agency or department, including local governments or jails, that has determined that the terms of this section are inconsistent with and contrary to his or her supervision.
- (2) The provisions of RCW 69.51A.040 and sections 403 and 413 of this act do not apply to a person who is supervised for a criminal conviction by a corrections agency or department, including local governments or jails, that has determined that the terms of this chapter are inconsistent with and contrary to his or her supervision.
- (3) A person may not be licensed as a licensed producer, licensed processor of cannabis products, or a licensed dispenser under section 601, 602, or 701 of this act if he or she is supervised for a criminal conviction by a corrections agency or department, including local governments or jails, that has determined that licensure is inconsistent with and contrary to his or her supervision.

1 **Sec. 1106.** RCW 69.51A.900 and 1999 c 2 s 1 are each amended to 2 read as follows:

This chapter may be known and cited as the Washington state medical use of ((marijuana)) cannabis act.

5 PART XII
6 MISCELLANEOUS

3

4

25

26

2728

29

30

31

3233

34

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1201. (1) The legislature recognizes that there 7 8 are cannabis producers and cannabis dispensaries in operation as of the 9 effective date of this section that are unregulated by the state and 10 who produce and dispense cannabis for medical use by qualifying 11 patients. The legislature intends that these producers and dispensaries become licensed in accordance with the requirements of 12 13 this chapter and that this licensing provides them with arrest protection so long as they remain in compliance with the requirements 14 15 of this chapter and the rules adopted under this chapter. legislature further recognizes that cannabis producers and cannabis 16 17 dispensaries in current operation are not able to become licensed until the department of agriculture and the department of health adopt rules 18 19 and, consequently, it is likely they will remain unlicensed until at 20 least January 1, 2013. These producers and dispensary owners and 21 operators run the risk of arrest between the effective date of this 22 section and the time they become licensed. Therefore, the legislature intends to provide them with an affirmative defense if they meet the 23 24 requirements of this section.

- (2) If charged with a violation of state law relating to cannabis, a producer of cannabis or a dispensary and its owners and operators that are engaged in the production or dispensing of cannabis to a qualifying patient or who assists a qualifying patient in the medical use of cannabis is deemed to have established an affirmative defense to such charges by proof of compliance with this section.
- (3) In order to assert an affirmative defense under this section, a cannabis producer or cannabis dispensary must:
- (a) In the case of producers, solely provide cannabis to cannabis dispensaries for the medical use of cannabis by qualified patients;
- 35 (b) In the case of dispensaries, solely provide cannabis to qualified patients for their medical use;

- (c) Be registered with the secretary of state as of May 1, 2011;
 - (d) File a letter of intent with the department of agriculture or the department of health, as the case may be, asserting that the producer or dispenser intends to become licensed in accordance with this chapter and rules adopted by the appropriate department; and
 - (e) File a letter of intent with the city clerk if in an incorporated area or to the county clerk if in an unincorporated area stating they operate as a producer or dispensary and that they comply with the provisions of this chapter and will comply with subsequent department rule making.
 - (4) Upon receiving a letter of intent under subsection (3) of this section, the department of agriculture, the department of health, and the city clerk or county clerk must send a letter of acknowledgment to the producer or dispenser. The producer and dispenser must display this letter of acknowledgment in a prominent place in their facility.
 - (5) Letters of intent filed with a public agency, letters of acknowledgement sent from those agencies, and other materials related to such letters are exempt from public disclosure under chapter 42.56 RCW.
 - (6) This section expires upon the establishment of the licensing programs of the department of agriculture and the department of health and the commencement of the issuance of licenses for dispensers and producers as provided in this chapter. The department of health and the department of agriculture shall notify the code reviser when the establishment of the licensing programs has occurred.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 1202. A new section is added to chapter 42.56 27 RCW to read as follows:

The following information related to cannabis producers and cannabis dispensers are exempt from disclosure under this section:

- 30 (1) Letters of intent filed with a public agency under section 1201 31 of this act;
- 32 (2) Letters of acknowledgement sent from a public agency under 33 section 1201 of this act;
- 34 (3) Materials related to letters of intent and acknowledgement 35 under section 1201 of this act.

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

1112

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

22

2324

NEW SECTION. **sec. 1203.** (1)(a) On July 1, 2015, the department of health shall report the following information to the state treasurer:

3

4 5

6 7

8

- (i) The expenditures from the health professions account related to the administration of chapter 69.51A RCW between the effective date of this section and June 30, 2015; and
- (ii) The amounts deposited into the health professions account under sections 702, 802, and 901 of this act between the effective date of this section and June 30, 2015.
- 9 (b) If the amount in (a)(i) of this subsection exceeds the amount in (a)(ii) of this subsection, the state treasurer shall transfer an amount equal to the difference from the general fund to the health professions account.
- 13 (2)(a) Annually, beginning July 1, 2016, the department of health shall report the following information to the state treasurer:
- (i) The expenditures from the health professions account related to the administration of chapter 69.51A RCW for the preceding fiscal year; and
- (ii) The amounts deposited into the health professions account under sections 702, 802, and 901 of this act during the preceding fiscal year.
- (b) If the amount in (a)(i) of this subsection exceeds the amount in (a)(ii) of this subsection, the state treasurer shall transfer an amount equal to the difference from the general fund to the health professions account.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 1204. RCW 69.51A.080 (Adoption of rules by the department of health--Sixty-day supply for qualifying patients) and 27 2007 c 371 s 8 are each repealed.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 1205. Sections 402 through 411, 413, 601 through 611, 701 through 705, 801 through 807, 901, 1001, 1101 through 1105, and 1201 of this act are each added to chapter 69.51A RCW.
- 31 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1206.** Section 1002 of this act takes effect 32 January 1, 2013.

--- END ---

RULE-MAKING ORDER	CR-103 (June 2004) (Implements RCW 34.05.360)
Agency: Department of Health.	☑ Permanent Rule☑ Emergency Rule
Effective date of rule: Permanent Rules 31 days after filing. Other (specify) (If less than 31 days after filing, a specific finding under RCW 34.05.380(3) is required and should be stated below) Any other findings required by other provisions of law as preconc Yes No If Yes, explain:	Effective date of rule: Emergency Rules Immediately upon filing. Later (specify)
Purpose: WAC 246-75-010 defines the quantity of marijuana that supply allowed under the medical marijuana law (chapter 69.51A RCW law, and will assist patients, designated providers, physicians, law enfor a sixty day supply of medical marijuana.	I) for qualifying patients. The rules clarify the existing
Citation of existing rules affected by this order: Repealed: none Amended: none Suspended: none	74 of 0007)
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 69.51A.080 (Chapter 37 Other authority:	71, Laws of 2007)
PERMANENT RULE ONLY (Including Expedited Rule Making) Adopted under notice filed as WSR 08-14-149 on 07/01/2008 (d Describe any changes other than editing from proposed to adopted	
If a preliminary cost-benefit analysis was prepared under RCW 34. contacting:	.05.328, a final cost-benefit analysis is available by
Address: PO BOX 47850 fax (360)	<u>236-4612</u> <u>236-4626</u> almarijuana@doh.wa.gov
EMERGENCY RULE ONLY Under RCW 34.05.350 the agency for good cause finds: ☐ That immediate adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule is r health, safety, or general welfare, and that observing the time comment upon adoption of a permanent rule would be contra☐ That state or federal law or federal rule or a federal deadline immediate adoption of a rule.	e requirements of notice and opportunity to arry to the public interest.
Reasons for this finding:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Date adopted: 10/01/08

They Electry

NAME (TYPE OR PRINT) Mary C. Selecky

SIGNATURE

TITLE Secretary CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: October 02, 2008

TIME: 8:52 AM

WSR 08-21-001

Note: If any category is left blank, it will be calculated as zero. No descriptive text.

Count by whole WAC sections only, from the WAC number through the history note.

A section may be counted in more than one category.

							-	
The number of sections adopted in or	rder to co	mply w	vith:					÷
Federal statute:	New	<u>o</u>		Amended	<u>0</u>	Repeal	ed	<u>0</u>
Federal rules or standards:	New	<u>0</u>		Amended	<u>0</u>	Repeal	ed	<u>0</u> ·
Recently enacted state statutes:	New	<u>1</u>		Amended	<u>0</u>	Repeal	ed	<u>0</u>
						•		
					1 .			
The number of sections adopted at th	e reques	t of a n	ongov	ernmental e	ntity:			2
	New	<u>0</u>		Amended	<u>o</u>	Repeal	ed	<u>0</u>
						•		
•								
he number of sections adopted in th	e agency	's own	initiati	ve:				
	New	<u>0</u>		Amended	<u>0</u>	Repeal	ed	<u>o</u>
						*		
	٠.							
he number of sections adopted in o	rder to cl	arify, st	treamli	ne, or refor	m agen	cy procedure	s:	
						• •		
	New	n			Λ	Renea		0
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	New	<u>O</u> .		Amended	<u>0</u>	Repea		<u>0</u>
:	New	<u>0</u>			<u>0</u>	Repea		<u>0</u>
	New	<u>O</u>			<u>o</u>	Repea		<u>0</u>
		<u>0</u>			<u>0</u>	Repea		<u>0</u>
		<u>o</u>			<u>o</u>	Repeal Repea	led	<u>0</u>
The number of sections adopted usin	ıg:			Amended	_		led	

WAC 246-75-010 – Medical Marijuana CR103 Attachment

Describe any changes other than editing from proposed to adopted version:

The department made changes to the rule to address public comment about the definition of "immature plant" and "mature plant" and the limit of six mature plants as part of the presumptive 60-day supply. Many comments stated the proposed definition of a 60-day supply did not include a sufficient number of plants for a 60-day supply of medical marijuana. The adopted rule allows for a limit of 15 plants in any stage of growth.

The department also removed from the proposed rule the requirement that a qualifying patient obtain documentation from a physician in order to overcome the presumptive limits. The adopted rule uses the language of the statute.

The department made the following specific changes to provisions of the rule:

WAC 246-75-010 (2) (b) and (c) were amended to remove the definition of "mature plant" and "immature plant." The adopted rule defines a plant as any marijuana plant in any stage of growth.

WAC 246-75-010 (3) (a) was changed to remove the limit of six mature plants and eighteen immature plants. The adopted rule allows a limit of no more than fifteen plants.

WAC 246-75-010 (3) (c) was changed to remove the requirement that a qualifying patient provide documentation from the patient's physician to overcome the rule's presumptive amount of a 60-day supply. The adopted rule includes the statement from RCW 69.51A.080 that a qualifying patient may overcome the presumptive limit with evidence of necessary medical use.

Chapter 246-75 WAC

MEDICAL MARIJUANA

NEW SECTION

- WAC 246-75-010 Medical marijuana. (1) Purpose. The purpose of this section is to define the amount of marijuana a qualifying patient could reasonably expect to need over a sixty-day period for their personal medical use. It is intended to:
- (a) Allow medical practitioners to exercise their best professional judgment in the delivery of medical treatment;
- (b) Allow designated providers to assist patients in the manner provided in chapter 69.51A RCW; and
- (c) Provide clarification to patients, law enforcement and others in the use of medical marijuana.
 - (2) Definitions.
- (a) "Designated provider" means a person as defined in RCW 69.51A.010.
 - (b) "Plant" means any marijuana plant in any stage of growth.
- (c) "Qualifying patient" means a person as defined in RCW 69.51A.010.
- (d) "Useable marijuana" means the dried leaves and flowers of the *Cannabis* plant family Moraceae. Useable marijuana excludes stems, stalks, seeds and roots.
 - (3) Presumptive sixty-day supply.
- (a) A qualifying patient and a designated provider may possess a total of no more than twenty-four ounces of useable marijuana, and no more than fifteen plants.
- (b) Amounts listed in (a) of this subsection are total amounts of marijuana between both a qualifying patient and a designated provider.
- (c) The presumption in this section may be overcome with evidence of a qualifying patient's necessary medical use.

CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6032

60th Legislature 2007 Regular Session

Passed by the Senate April 20, 2007 YEAS 37 NAYS 9	CERTIFICATE					
	I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary the Senate of the State Washington, do hereby certify t					
President of the Senate	the attached is ENGROSS SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6032					
Passed by the House April 18, 2007 YEAS 68 NAYS 27	passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.					
Speaker of the House of Representatives	Secretary					
Approved	FILED					
	Secretary of State State of Washington					
Governor of the State of Washington						

ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6032

AS RECOMMENDED BY THE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE

Passed Legislature - 2007 Regular Session

State of Washington 60th Legislature 2007 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Health & Long-Term Care (originally sponsored by Senators Kohl-Welles, McCaslin, Kline, Regala and Keiser)

READ FIRST TIME 02/28/07.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to medical use of marijuana; amending RCW
- 2 69.51A.005, 69.51A.010, 69.51A.030, 69.51A.040, 69.51A.060, and
- 3 69.51A.070; adding a new section to chapter 69.51A RCW; and creating a
- 4 new section.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** The legislature intends to clarify the law 7 on medical marijuana so that the lawful use of this substance is not
- 8 impaired and medical practitioners are able to exercise their best
- 9 professional judgment in the delivery of medical treatment, qualifying
- 10 patients may fully participate in the medical use of marijuana, and
- 11 designated providers may assist patients in the manner provided by this
- 12 act without fear of state criminal prosecution. This act is also
- 13 intended to provide clarification to law enforcement and to all
- 14 participants in the judicial system.
- 15 **Sec. 2.** RCW 69.51A.005 and 1999 c 2 s 2 are each amended to read
- 16 as follows:
- 17 The people of Washington state find that some patients with
- 18 terminal or debilitating illnesses, under their physician's care, may

benefit from the medical use of marijuana. Some of the illnesses for which marijuana appears to be beneficial include chemotherapy-related nausea and vomiting in cancer patients; AIDS wasting syndrome; severe muscle spasms associated with multiple sclerosis and other spasticity disorders; epilepsy; acute or chronic glaucoma; and some forms of intractable pain.

The people find that humanitarian compassion necessitates that the decision to authorize the medical use of marijuana by patients with terminal or debilitating illnesses is a personal, individual decision, based upon their physician's professional medical judgment and discretion.

Therefore, the people of the state of Washington intend that:

Qualifying patients with terminal or debilitating illnesses who, in the judgment of their physicians, ((would)) may benefit from the medical use of marijuana, shall not be found guilty of a crime under state law for their possession and limited use of marijuana;

Persons who act as ((primary caregivers)) <u>designated providers</u> to such patients shall also not be found guilty of a crime under state law for assisting with the medical use of marijuana; and

Physicians also be excepted from liability and prosecution for the authorization of marijuana use to qualifying patients for whom, in the physician's professional judgment, medical marijuana may prove beneficial.

24 **Sec. 3.** RCW 69.51A.010 and 1999 c 2 s 6 are each amended to read 25 as follows:

The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise.

- (1) "Designated provider" means a person who:
- (a) Is eighteen years of age or older;
- 30 <u>(b) Has been designated in writing by a patient to serve as a</u> 31 designated provider under this chapter;
- (c) Is prohibited from consuming marijuana obtained for the personal, medical use of the patient for whom the individual is acting as designated provider; and
- 35 (d) Is the designated provider to only one patient at any one time.
- 36 (2) "Medical use of marijuana" means the production, possession, or

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

26

27

28

- 1 administration of marijuana, as defined in RCW 69.50.101(q), for the
- 2 exclusive benefit of a qualifying patient in the treatment of his or
- 3 her terminal or debilitating illness.

9

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

- 4 ((2) "Primary caregiver" means a person who:
- 5 (a) Is eighteen years of age or older;
- 6 (b) Is responsible for the housing, health, or care of the patient;
- 7 (c) Has been designated in writing by a patient to perform the 8 duties of primary caregiver under this chapter.))
 - (3) "Qualifying patient" means a person who:
- 10 (a) Is a patient of a physician licensed under chapter 18.71 or 18.57 RCW;
- 12 (b) Has been diagnosed by that physician as having a terminal or debilitating medical condition;
- 14 (c) Is a resident of the state of Washington at the time of such 15 diagnosis;
 - (d) Has been advised by that physician about the risks and benefits of the medical use of marijuana; and
 - (e) Has been advised by that physician that they may benefit from the medical use of marijuana.
 - (4) "Terminal or debilitating medical condition" means:
 - (a) Cancer, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), multiple sclerosis, epilepsy or other seizure disorder, or spasticity disorders; or
 - (b) Intractable pain, limited for the purpose of this chapter to mean pain unrelieved by standard medical treatments and medications; or
 - (c) Glaucoma, either acute or chronic, limited for the purpose of this chapter to mean increased intraocular pressure unrelieved by standard treatments and medications; or
 - (d) <u>Crohn's disease with debilitating symptoms unrelieved by</u> standard treatments or medications; or
- (e) Hepatitis C with debilitating nausea or intractable pain unrelieved by standard treatments or medications; or
- (f) Diseases, including anorexia, which result in nausea, vomiting, wasting, appetite loss, cramping, seizures, muscle spasms, or spasticity, when these symptoms are unrelieved by standard treatments or medications; or
- 36 <u>(g)</u> Any other medical condition duly approved by the Washington 37 state medical quality assurance ((board [commission])) commission in

- 1 <u>consultation with the board of osteopathic medicine and surgery</u> as 2 directed in this chapter.
 - (5) "Valid documentation" means:

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

- (a) A statement signed by a qualifying patient's physician, or a copy of the qualifying patient's pertinent medical records, which states that, in the physician's professional opinion, the ((potential benefits of the medical use of marijuana would likely outweigh the health risks for a particular qualifying)) patient may benefit from the medical use of marijuana; ((and))
- 10 (b) Proof of identity such as a Washington state driver's license 11 or identicard, as defined in RCW 46.20.035; and
- 12 <u>(c) A copy of the physician statement described in (a) of this</u>
 13 <u>subsection shall have the same force and effect as the signed original.</u>
- 14 **Sec. 4.** RCW 69.51A.030 and 1999 c 2 s 4 are each amended to read 15 as follows:
 - A physician licensed under chapter 18.71 or 18.57 RCW shall be excepted from the state's criminal laws and shall not be penalized in any manner, or denied any right or privilege, for:
 - (1) Advising a qualifying patient about the risks and benefits of medical use of marijuana or that the qualifying patient may benefit from the medical use of marijuana where such use is within a professional standard of care or in the individual physician's medical judgment; or
- (2) Providing a qualifying patient with valid documentation, based upon the physician's assessment of the qualifying patient's medical history and current medical condition, that the ((potential benefits of the)) medical use of marijuana ((would likely outweigh the health risks for the)) may benefit a particular qualifying patient.
- 29 **Sec. 5.** RCW 69.51A.040 and 1999 c 2 s 5 are each amended to read 30 as follows:
- 31 (1) If a law enforcement officer determines that marijuana is being 32 possessed lawfully under the medical marijuana law, the officer may 33 document the amount of marijuana, take a representative sample that is 34 large enough to test, but not seize the marijuana. A law enforcement 35 officer or agency shall not be held civilly liable for failure to seize 36 marijuana in this circumstance.

ESSB 6032.PL

(2) If charged with a violation of state law relating to marijuana, any qualifying patient who is engaged in the medical use of marijuana, or any designated ((primary caregiver)) provider who assists a qualifying patient in the medical use of marijuana, will be deemed to have established an affirmative defense to such charges by proof of his or her compliance with the requirements provided in this chapter. Any person meeting the requirements appropriate to his or her status under this chapter shall be considered to have engaged in activities permitted by this chapter and shall not be penalized in any manner, or denied any right or privilege, for such actions.

- (((2) The)) <u>(3) A</u> qualifying patient, if eighteen years of age or older, or a designated provider shall:
- 13 (a) Meet all criteria for status as a qualifying patient <u>or</u> 14 <u>designated provider</u>;
 - (b) Possess no more marijuana than is necessary for the patient's personal, medical use, not exceeding the amount necessary for a sixty-day supply; and
 - (c) Present his or her valid documentation to any law enforcement official who questions the patient <u>or provider</u> regarding his or her medical use of marijuana.
 - $((\frac{3)}{1})$ The)) (4) A qualifying patient, if under eighteen years of age at the time he or she is alleged to have committed the offense, shall $((\frac{1}{2}))$ demonstrate compliance with subsection $((\frac{2}{2}))$ (3)(a) and (c) of this section. However, any possession under subsection $((\frac{2}{2}))$ (3)(b) of this section, as well as any production, acquisition, and decision as to dosage and frequency of use, shall be the responsibility of the parent or legal guardian of the qualifying patient.
 - ((4) The designated primary caregiver shall:
- 30 (a) Meet all criteria for status as a primary caregiver to a qualifying patient;
- 32 (b) Possess, in combination with and as an agent for the qualifying 33 patient, no more marijuana than is necessary for the patient's 34 personal, medical use, not exceeding the amount necessary for a sixty-35 day supply;
- (c) Present a copy of the qualifying patient's valid documentation
 required by this chapter, as well as evidence of designation to act as

- primary caregiver by the patient, to any law enforcement official requesting such information;
- 3 (d) Be prohibited from consuming marijuana obtained for the 4 personal, medical use of the patient for whom the individual is acting 5 as primary caregiver; and
- 6 (e) Be the primary caregiver to only one patient at any one time.))
- 7 **Sec. 6.** RCW 69.51A.060 and 1999 c 2 s 8 are each amended to read 8 as follows:
 - (1) It shall be a misdemeanor to use or display medical marijuana in a manner or place which is open to the view of the general public.
- 11 (2) Nothing in this chapter requires any health insurance provider 12 to be liable for any claim for reimbursement for the medical use of 13 marijuana.
- 14 (3) Nothing in this chapter requires any physician to authorize the 15 use of medical marijuana for a patient.
 - (4) Nothing in this chapter requires any accommodation of any <u>on-site</u> medical use of marijuana in any place of employment, in any school bus or on any school grounds, ((or)) in any youth center, in any <u>correctional facility</u>, or <u>smoking medical marijuana in any public place</u> as that term is defined in RCW 70.160.020.
 - (5) It is a class C felony to fraudulently produce any record purporting to be, or tamper with the content of any record for the purpose of having it accepted as, valid documentation under RCW $69.51A.010((\frac{(5)}{2}))$ (6)(a).
- 25 (6) No person shall be entitled to claim the affirmative defense 26 provided in RCW 69.51A.040 for engaging in the medical use of marijuana 27 in a way that endangers the health or well-being of any person through 28 the use of a motorized vehicle on a street, road, or highway.
- 29 **Sec. 7.** RCW 69.51A.070 and 1999 c 2 s 9 are each amended to read 30 as follows:
- state 31 The Washington medical quality assurance ((board {commission})) commission in consultation with the board of osteopathic 32 medicine and surgery, or other appropriate agency as designated by the 33 governor, shall accept for consideration petitions submitted ((by 34 35 physicians or patients)) to add terminal or debilitating conditions to 36 those included in this chapter. In considering such petitions, the

9

16

17

18 19

2021

22

- 1 Washington state medical quality assurance ((board [commission]))
- 2 <u>commission in consultation with the board of osteopathic medicine and</u>
- 3 <u>surgery</u> shall include public notice of, and an opportunity to comment
- 4 in a public hearing upon, such petitions. The Washington state medical
- 5 quality assurance ((board [commission])) commission in consultation
- 6 with the board of osteopathic medicine and surgery shall, after
- 7 hearing, approve or deny such petitions within one hundred eighty days
- 8 of submission. The approval or denial of such a petition shall be
- 9 considered a final agency action, subject to judicial review.

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. A new section is added to chapter 69.51A RCW to read as follows:
 - (1) By July 1, 2008, the department of health shall adopt rules defining the quantity of marijuana that could reasonably be presumed to be a sixty-day supply for qualifying patients; this presumption may be overcome with evidence of a qualifying patient's necessary medical use.
 - (2) As used in this chapter, "sixty-day supply" means that amount of marijuana that qualifying patients would reasonably be expected to need over a period of sixty days for their personal medical use. During the rule-making process, the department shall make a good faith effort to include all stakeholders identified in the rule-making analysis as being impacted by the rule.
 - (3) The department of health shall gather information from medical and scientific literature, consulting with experts and the public, and reviewing the best practices of other states regarding access to an adequate, safe, consistent, and secure source, including alternative distribution systems, of medical marijuana for qualifying patients. The department shall report its findings to the legislature by July 1, 2008.

--- END ---

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,)
)
Respondent,)
-	No. 81210-1
V.)
) En Banc
JASON LEE FRY,)
,) Filed January 21, 2010
Petitioner.)
)
	/

J.M. JOHNSON, J.—Two police officers were informed of a marijuana growing operation at the residence of Jason and Tina Fry. When the officers approached the home, the smell of burning marijuana was apparent. Jason Fry did not consent to a search, and Tina Fry presented a document purporting to be authorization for medical marijuana. The officers obtained a telephonic search warrant, entered the Frys' home, and seized over two pounds of marijuana.

At trial, Jason Fry (Fry) argued the marijuana evidence should have been suppressed because presentation of a medical marijuana authorization automatically negates probable cause. The judge denied the motion to suppress and also declined to allow Fry to present a compassionate use defense on other grounds. Fry appealed both rulings.

We affirm the Court of Appeals, which upheld the trial court's decision to allow the evidence seized at the Frys' home pursuant to a warrant and declined to allow Fry to claim the compassionate use defense at trial.

Facts and Procedural History

On December 20, 2004, Stevens County Sheriff Sergeant Dan

Anderson and Deputy Bill Bitton (officers) went to the residence of Jason and

Tina Fry. The officers had received information there was a marijuana
growing operation there.

The officers walked up to the front porch and smelled the scent of burning marijuana. Jason Fry opened the door, at which time the officers noticed a much stronger odor of marijuana. Fry told the officers he had a legal prescription for marijuana and told the officers to leave absent a search warrant. Tina Fry gave the officers documents entitled "medical marijuana"

authorization." The authorization listed Fry's qualifying condition as "severe anxiety, rage, & depression related to childhood." Clerk's Papers (CP) at 20-23.

The officers obtained a telephonic search warrant and found several containers with marijuana, growing marijuana plants, growing equipment, paraphernalia, and scales in the Frys' home. The marijuana was found to weigh 911 grams (more than 2 pounds).

Prior to trial, Fry made a motion to suppress the evidence seized by the officers pursuant to the search warrant. The motion also indicated Fry would assert the affirmative defense of medical marijuana authorization (compassionate use defense) pursuant to former RCW 69.51A.040 (1999).

After hearing arguments, the superior court judge denied Fry's motion to suppress. The court concluded the officers demonstrated probable cause to search the Frys' home based on the strong odor of marijuana and other facts described in the telephonic affidavit. The court also concluded that Fry did not qualify for the compassionate use defense because he did not have a qualifying condition.¹

¹ Because the court found Fry was not a "qualifying patient," it declined to reach the State's other arguments. The State also argued Fry would not qualify because the amount of marijuana in his possession, over 2 pounds, exceeded the 60-day supply the statute

After a stipulated facts bench trial, Fry was convicted of possession of more than 40 grams of marijuana. The court sentenced him to 30 days of total confinement, converted to 240 hours of community service. Fry appealed, and Division Three of the Court of Appeals held that Fry's production of a document purporting to be a marijuana use authorization did not prohibit the search of Fry's home by police officers who had probable cause and obtained a warrant. *State v. Fry*, 142 Wn. App. 456, 461, 174 P.3d 1258 (2008). The Court of Appeals also agreed with the trial court that Fry was not a "qualifying patient" and therefore was not able to claim the affirmative defense for medical marijuana use. *Id.* at 462-63. Fry appealed the decision, and we granted review. *State v. Fry*, 164 Wn.2d 1002, 190 P.3d 55 (2008).

Issues

- 1. Whether a telephonic search warrant was supported by probable cause when police officers were informed that marijuana was being grown at a certain residence, the officers smelled marijuana upon arriving, but the defendant provided a medical authorization form for marijuana
- 2. Whether the trial court erred in disallowing Fry's medical marijuana defense

allowed. CP at 103.

Analysis

A. Whether a telephonic search warrant was supported by probable cause when police officers were informed that marijuana was being grown at a certain residence, the officers smelled marijuana upon arriving, but the defendant provided a medical authorization form for marijuana

Fry argues the marijuana evidence seized by the officers should have been suppressed. We review a trial court's conclusion of law pertaining to the suppression of evidence de novo. *State v. Eisfeldt*, 163 Wn.2d 628, 634, 185 P.3d 580 (2008) (quoting *State v. Carneh*, 153 Wn.2d 274, 281, 103 P.3d 743 (2004)). As the findings of fact in this case were stipulated and uncontested, they are verities on appeal. *State v. Levy*, 156 Wn.2d 709, 733, 132 P.3d 1076 (2006) (citing *State v. O'Neill*, 148 Wn.2d 564, 571, 62 P.3d 489 (2003)).

The warrant clause of the Fourth Amendment to the United States

Constitution and article I, section 7 of our own constitution requires that a
search warrant be issued upon a determination of probable cause. *State v. Vickers*, 148 Wn.2d 91, 108, 59 P.3d 58 (2002).² "The probable cause
requirement is a fact-based determination that represents a compromise

² Article I, section 7 provides greater privacy protection than the Fourth Amendment, and an analysis under *State v. Gunwall*, 106 Wn.2d 54, 720 P.2d 808 (1986) is not necessary. *Vickers*, 148 Wn.2d at 108 n.43.

between the competing interests of enforcing the law and protecting the individual's right to privacy." *State v. Neth*, 165 Wn.2d 177, 182, 196 P.3d 658 (2008) (citing *Brinegar v. United States*, 338 U.S. 160, 176, 69 S. Ct. 1302, 93 L. Ed. 1879 (1949)). "Probable cause exists where there are facts and circumstances sufficient to establish a reasonable inference that the defendant is involved in criminal activity and that evidence of the criminal activity can be found at the place to be searched." *State v. Maddox*, 152 Wn.2d 499, 505, 98 P.3d 1199 (2004) (citing *State v. Thein*, 138 Wn.2d 133, 140, 977 P.2d 582 (1999)). "It is only the probability of criminal activity, not a prima facie showing of it, that governs probable cause." *Maddox*, 152 Wn.2d at 505.

There is no contention that the facts, including the information and smell of marijuana, do not support a finding of probable cause to search the Frys' residence.³ However, Fry contends the probable cause was negated once he produced the authorization. Although there was a later dispute over the validity of the authorization, there is no indication in the record that the

⁻

³ See, e.g., State v. Olson, 73 Wn. App. 348, 356, 869 P.2d 110 (1994) ("When an officer who is trained and experienced in marijuana detection actually detects the odor of marijuana, this by itself provides sufficient evidence to constitute probable cause justifying a search.") (citing State v. Huff, 64 Wn. App. 641, 647-48, 826 P.2d 698 (1992)).

officers or the magistrate questioned the validity at the time the search warrant was issued. Nevertheless, the officers' search and arrest were supported by probable cause, and a claimed authorization form does not negate probable cause.

Former chapter 69.51A RCW (1999) (the Act)

By passing Initiative 692 (I-692), the people of Washington intended that

[q]ualifying patients with terminal or debilitating illnesses who, in the judgment of their physicians, would benefit from the medical use of marijuana, shall not be found guilty of a crime under state law for their possession and limited use of marijuana.

Former RCW 69.51A.005 (1999). Additionally,

[i]f charged with a violation of state law relating to marijuana, any qualifying patient who is engaged in the medical use of marijuana, or any designated primary caregiver who assists a qualifying patient in the medical use of marijuana, will be deemed to have established an affirmative defense to such charges by proof of his or her compliance with the requirements provided in this chapter. Any person meeting the requirements appropriate to his or her status under this chapter shall be considered to have engaged in activities permitted by this chapter and shall not be penalized in any manner, or denied any right or privilege, for such actions.

Former RCW 69.51A.040(1) (emphasis added). Based on I-692 and the derivative statute, we have recognized that Washington voters created a compassionate use defense against marijuana charges. *See State v. Tracy*,

158 Wn.2d 683, 691, 147 P.3d 559 (2006). An affirmative defense admits the defendant committed a criminal act but pleads an excuse for doing so. *State v. Votava*, 149 Wn.2d 178, 187-88, 66 P.3d 1050 (2003) (citing *State v. Riker*, 123 Wn.2d 351, 367-68, 869 P.2d 43 (1994)). The defendant must prove an affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence. *State v. Frost*, 160 Wn.2d 765, 773, 161 P.3d 361 (2007). An affirmative defense does not negate any elements of the charged crime. *Id.*

Possession of marijuana, even in small amounts, is still a crime in the state of Washington. *See* RCW 69.50.4014. A police officer would have probable cause to believe Fry committed a crime when the officer smelled marijuana emanating from the Frys' residence. Fry presented the officer with documentation purporting to authorize his use of marijuana. Nevertheless, the authorization only created a potential affirmative defense that would excuse the criminal act. The authorization does not, however, result in making the act of possessing and using marijuana noncriminal or negate any elements of the charged offense. Therefore, based on the information of a marijuana growing operation and the strong odor of marijuana when the officers approached the Frys' home, a reasonable inference was established

that criminal activity was taking place in the Frys' residence. Therefore, the officers had probable cause and the search warrant was properly obtained.

This conclusion is supported by *McBride v. Walla Walla County*, 95 Wn. App. 33, 975 P.2d 1029, 990 P.2d 967 (1999). In *McBride*, a police officer arrested McBride for hitting his son. The officer had substantial facts and information to indicate McBride acted in self-defense. Nevertheless, the officer arrested McBride as mandated by the domestic violence section in former RCW 10.31.100(2)(b) (1996).

Like the compassionate use defense, self-defense is an affirmative defense. See City of Kennewick v. Day, 142 Wn.2d 1, 10, 11 P.3d 304 (2000). McBride argued it was the officer's duty to evaluate the self-defense claim and determine whether it negated the existence of probable cause to arrest him. McBride, 95 Wn. App. at 39. The court concluded, "[t]he officer is not judge or jury; he does not decide if the legal standard for self-defense is met." Id. at 40. The court determined the affirmative defense "did not vitiate probable cause." Id.

Fry attempts to distinguish *McBride*. He notes that the officers in that case were required to arrest an individual involved in a domestic violence

dispute. There was no statutory requirement compelling the officers to search Fry's residence and seize the marijuana. However, probable cause is not created or negated by statutory mandate to search or arrest (or lack thereof). In most cases, including the one before us, officers have discretion as to whether they will conduct a search or make an arrest once they have probable cause. However, this discretion has no impact on whether probable cause exists.

Under the Act, a person "charged with a violation of state law relating to marijuana . . . will be deemed to have established an affirmative defense to such charges by proof of his or her compliance with the requirements provided in this chapter." Former RCW 69.51A.040(1). One of the requirements is that a qualifying patient "[p]resent his or her valid documentation to any law enforcement official who questions the patient regarding his or her medical use of marijuana" (presentment requirement). Former RCW 69.51A.040(2)(c).

An amici brief⁴ calls our attention to the "presentment" requirement in the Act. It is argued that if the presentment requirement is to have meaning,

⁴ Washington Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers and American Civil Liberties Union of Washington.

presentation of a patient's authorization must establish lawful possession of marijuana, and thereby the absence of criminal activity that would provide probable cause for a search or seizure. Amici Br. at 7-8.

The presentment requirement must be read in context. It is only triggered when someone is "charged with a violation." Former RCW 69.51A.040(1). A person who meets the presentment requirement (and all other requirements) will "be deemed to have established an affirmative defense." *Id.* Additionally, the requirements, taken together, do not indicate that the Act created more than an affirmative defense. One of the other requirements mandates that the charged individual "[p]ossess no more marijuana than is necessary for the patient's personal, medical use, not exceeding the amount necessary for a sixty-day supply." Former RCW 69.51A.040(2)(b). It would be impossible to ascertain whether an individual possesses an excessive amount of marijuana without a search.

Instead, the presentment requirement facilitates an officer's decision of whether to use his or her discretion and seize the marijuana and/or arrest the possessor. Once the officer has searched the individual and established that the individual is possessing marijuana in compliance with the Act (i.e.,

appropriate documentation, limited supply, etc.) the officer would then have sufficient facts to determine whether an arrest is warranted. This view is supported by the 2007 amendment to RCW 69.51A.040. The current version reads, "[i]f a law enforcement officer determines that marijuana is being possessed lawfully under the medical marijuana law, the officer may document the amount of marijuana, take a representative sample that is large enough to test, but not seize the marijuana." RCW 69.51A.040(1). It is difficult to imagine how a law enforcement officer, having been presented with a medical marijuana authorization, would be able to determine that the marijuana is otherwise being lawfully possessed (and take a sample) without some kind of search.

I-692 did not legalize marijuana, but rather provided an authorized user with an affirmative defense if the user shows compliance with the requirements for medical marijuana possession. *See* former RCW 69.51A.005, .040. As an affirmative defense, the compassionate use defense does not eliminate probable cause where a trained officer detects the odor of marijuana. A doctor's authorization does not indicate that the presenter is totally complying with the Act; e.g., the amounts may be excessive. An

affirmative defense does not per se legalize an activity and does not negate probable cause that a crime has been committed. We therefore affirm the Court of Appeals on this issue.

B. Whether the trial court erred in disallowing Fry's medical marijuana defense

Prior to trial, the State argued Fry was not a "qualifying patient" and could not, therefore, assert the compassionate use defense. The State also argued Fry could not claim the affirmative defense because the amount of marijuana in his possession exceeded a 60-day supply. The trial court concluded Fry was not a "qualifying patient" and declined to reach the State's other arguments. CP at 102-03. The Court of Appeals agreed with the trial court's ruling.

Whether the trial court erred in disallowing Fry's compassionate use defense is a question of law we review de novo. *See Tracy*, 158 Wn.2d at 687. Fry bears the burden of offering sufficient evidence to support the affirmative defense of compassionate use. *Id.* at 689 (citing *State v. Janes*, 121 Wn.2d 220, 236-37, 850 P.2d 495 (1993)). Fry bore the burden of producing at least some evidence that he was a qualified patient who could assert the compassionate use defense. *Id.* (citing *Janes*, 121 Wn.2d at 237).

The intent of the medical marijuana statute was that "[q]ualifying patients with terminal or debilitating illnesses who, in the judgment of their physicians, would benefit from the medical use of marijuana, shall not be found guilty of a crime under state law for their possession and limited use of marijuana." Former RCW 69.51A.005 (emphasis added).

A "qualifying patient" is a person who:

- (a) Is a patient of a physician licensed under chapter 18.71 or 18.57 RCW;
- (b) Has been diagnosed by that physician as having a terminal or debilitating medical condition;
- (c) Is a resident of the state of Washington at the time of such diagnosis;
- (d) Has been advised by that physician about the risks and benefits of the medical use of marijuana; and
- (e) Has been advised by that physician that they may benefit from the medical use of marijuana.

Former RCW 69.51A.010(3) (1999). The State argues Fry is not a qualifying patient under the Act because Fry has not been diagnosed as having a terminal or debilitating medical condition under former RCW 69.51A.010(3)(b). Fry's doctor listed "severe anxiety, rage, & depression related to childhood" as the debilitating medical condition qualifying Fry to use medical marijuana. CP at 20-23.⁵ These conditions did not qualify

⁵ Fry's physical examination lists other ailments such as hearing loss, low back pain and a

under I-692 as enacted.

In 2007, after the search and seizure in this case, the legislature revised the medical marijuana statute to include additional terminal or debilitating medical conditions that would qualify under the Act. RCW 69.51A.010(4). Fry's conditions of severe anxiety and rage are not included in the list of qualifying conditions, even as amended. In 2004, the State of Washington Department of Health Medical Quality Assurance Commission issued a final order denying a petition to include depression and severe anxiety in the list of "terminal or debilitating medical conditions" under RCW 69.51A.010(4). Final Order on Pet., *In re Condrey*, No. 04-08-A-2002MD (Wash. Med. Quality Assurance Comm'n Nov. 19, 2004).

Fry did not actually have a terminal or debilitating medical condition as provided in the Act. The stated intent of the statute was to allow a qualifying patient with a terminal or debilitating illness to be found not guilty of marijuana possession under certain circumstances. Former RCW 69.51A.005. ("The people of Washington state find that . . . [q]ualifying patients *with* terminal or debilitating illnesses . . . shall not be found guilty

_

scar from being injured by a horse. However, there is no indication that these conditions were considered as a "qualifying condition." There is no indication that these conditions caused "intractable pain" that was "unrelieved by standard medical treatments."

...."). Conversely, the intent was not to excuse a marijuana user without a terminal or debilitating illness from criminal liability. Former RCW 69.51A.005.

In the only case we have decided under the Act, an otherwise qualifying patient received authorization to use medical marijuana from a doctor in California. *Tracy*, 158 Wn.2d at 686. This court interpreted the provision in the Act defining qualifying doctors as "those licensed under Washington law" to require a doctor formally licensed in Washington. *Id.* at 690. The majority opinion concluded that "[s]ince Tracy was not a patient of a qualifying doctor, she is not entitled to assert the defense." *Id.* The court stated unequivocally that "[o]nly qualifying patients are entitled to the defense under the act." *Id.* (citing former RCW 69.51A.005).

This court declined to extend the defense to Tracy, who was not in compliance with the statute because the doctor was not authorized to issue the medical marijuana authorization. Similarly, we will not extend the statute to permit an individual *without* a qualifying illness to claim its benefits.

In order to avail himself of the compassionate use defense, Fry must qualify under the Act. Fry does not have one of the listed debilitating

conditions, and therefore does not qualify. We affirm the Court of Appeals decision to not permit Fry to claim the compassionate use defense.

Conclusion

We interpret chapter 69.51A RCW and its affirmative defense to criminal violations as it was enacted by the people and amended by the legislature. According to the language of the statute, and consistent with the intent of I-692, an authorized user of medical marijuana will have an affirmative defense only if he or she shows full compliance with the Act. However, an affirmative defense does not negate probable cause for a search in the case, conducted with a valid warrant.

The officers in this case had probable cause to search Fry's residence and seize the marijuana, which was in excess of two pounds. The trial court correctly decided that Fry could not avail himself of the compassionate use defense because his claimed health conditions did not qualify under the Act. We therefore affirm the Court of Appeals and uphold Fry's judgment and sentence.

		<u> </u>
CONC		
Chie	ef Justice Barbara A. Madsen	
		Justice Mary E. Fairhurst
Just	ice Gerry L. Alexander	